



08/631613

Improved Multi-Layer Golf Ball

Field of the Invention

Dis. CI
B This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application Serial No. ~~08/591,046~~ ^{and} filed on January 25, 1996, U.S. Application Serial No. 08/542,793 filed on October 13, 1995, which in turn is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application ~~08/070,510~~ ^{08/562,940 filed} filed June 1, 1993, and U.S. Application No. ~~08/542,793~~ ^{08/562,940} filed October ~~13, 1995~~ ^{November 20, 1995}, which is a continuation of 08/070,510.

The present invention relates to golf balls and, more particularly, to improved golf balls comprising multi-layer covers which have a hard inner layer and a relatively soft outer layer.

Background of the Invention

Traditional golf ball covers have been comprised of balata or blends of balata with elastomeric or plastic materials. The traditional balata covers are relatively soft and flexible. Upon impact, the soft balata covers compress against the surface of the club producing high spin. Consequently, the soft and flexible balata covers provide an experienced golfer with the ability to apply a spin to control the ball in flight in order to produce a draw or a fade, or a backspin which causes the ball to "bite" or stop abruptly on contact with the green. Moreover, the soft balata covers produce a soft "feel" to the low handicap player. Such playability properties (workability, feel, etc.) are particularly important in short iron play with low swing speeds and are exploited significantly by relatively skilled players.

SPALD/164/US

EM483418147US

Despite all the benefits of balata, balata covered golf balls are easily cut and/or damaged if mis-hit. Golf balls produced with balata or balata-containing cover compositions therefore have a relatively short lifespan.

As a result of this negative property, balata and its synthetic substitutes, transpolybutadiene and transpolyisoprene, have been essentially replaced as the cover materials of choice by new cover materials comprising ionomeric resins.

Ionomeric resins are polymers containing interchain ionic bonding. As a result of their toughness, durability and flight characteristics, various ionomeric resins sold by E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company under the trademark "Surlyn®" and more recently, by the Exxon Corporation (see U.S. Patent No. 4,911,451) under the trademarks "ESCOR®" and the trade name "Iotek", have become the materials of choice for the construction of golf ball covers over the traditional "balata" (transpolyisoprene, natural or synthetic) rubbers. As stated, the softer balata covers, although exhibiting enhanced playability properties, lack the durability (cut and abrasion resistance, fatigue endurance, etc.) properties required for repetitive play.

Ionomeric resins are generally ionic copolymers of an olefin, such as ethylene, and a metal salt of an unsaturated carboxylic acid, such as acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, or maleic acid. Metal ions, such as sodium or zinc, are used to neutralize some portion of the acidic group in the copolymer resulting in a thermoplastic elastomer exhibiting enhanced properties, i.e. durability, etc., for golf ball cover construction over balata. However, some of the advantages gained in increased durability have been offset to some degree by the decreases produced in playability. This is because

although the ionomeric resins are very durable, they tend to be very hard when utilized for golf ball cover construction, and thus lack the degree of softness required to impart the spin necessary to control the ball in flight. Since the ionomeric resins are harder than balata, the ionomeric resin covers do not compress as much against the face of the club upon impact, thereby producing less spin. In addition, the harder and more durable ionomeric resins lack the "feel" characteristic associated with the softer balata related covers.

As a result, while there are currently more than fifty (50) commercial grades of ionomers available both from DuPont and Exxon, with a wide range of properties which vary according to the type and amount of metal cations, molecular weight, composition of the base resin (i.e., relative content of ethylene and methacrylic and/or acrylic acid groups) and additive ingredients such as reinforcement agents, etc., a great deal of research continues in order to develop a golf ball cover composition exhibiting not only the improved impact resistance and carrying distance properties produced by the "hard" ionomeric resins, but also the playability (i.e., "spin", "feel", etc.) characteristics previously associated with the "soft" balata covers, properties which are still desired by the more skilled golfer.

Consequently, a number of two-piece (a solid resilient center or core with a molded cover) and three-piece (a liquid or solid center, elastomeric winding about the center, and a molded cover) golf balls have been produced to address these needs. The different types of materials utilized to formulate the cores, covers, etc. of these balls dramatically alters the balls' overall characteristics. In addition, multi-layered

covers containing one or more ionomer resins have also been formulated in an attempt to produce a golf ball having the overall distance, playability and durability characteristics desired.

This was addressed by Spalding & Evenflo companies, Inc., the assignee of the present invention, in U.S. Patent No. 4,431,193 where a multi-layered golf ball is produced by initially molding a first cover layer on a spherical core and then adding a second layer. The first layer is comprised of a hard, high flexural modulus resinous material such as type 1605 Surlyn® (now designated Surlyn® 8940). Type 1605 Surlyn® (Surlyn® 8940) is a sodium ion based low acid (less than or equal to 15 weight percent methacrylic acid) ionomer resin having a flexural modulus of about 51,000 psi. An outer layer of a comparatively soft, low flexural modulus resinous material such as type 1855 Surlyn® (now designated Surlyn® 9020) is molded over the inner cover layer. Type 1855 Surlyn® (Surlyn® 9020) is a zinc ion based low acid (10 weight percent methacrylic acid) ionomer resin having a flexural modulus of about 14,000 psi.

The '193 patent teaches that the hard, high flexural modulus resin which comprises the first layer provides for a gain in coefficient of restitution over the coefficient of restitution of the core. The increase in the coefficient of restitution provides a ball which serves to attain or approach the maximum initial velocity limit of 255 feet per second as provided by the United States Golf Association (U.S.G.A.) rules. The relatively soft, low flexural modulus outer layer provides for the advantageous "feel" and playing characteristics of a balata covered golf ball.

5

In various attempts to produce a durable, high spin ionomer golf ball, the golfing industry has blended the hard ionomer resins with a number of softer ionomeric resins. U.S. Patent Nos. 4,884,814 and 5,120,791 are directed to cover compositions containing blends of hard and soft ionomeric resins. The hard copolymers typically are made from an olefin and an unsaturated carboxylic acid. The soft copolymers are generally made from an olefin, an unsaturated carboxylic acid, and an acrylate ester. It has been found that golf ball covers formed from hard-soft ionomer blends tend to become scuffed more readily than covers made of hard ionomer alone. It would be useful to develop a golf ball having a combination of softness and durability which is better than the softness-durability combination of a golf ball cover made from a hard-soft ionomer blend.

Most professional golfers and good amateur golfers desire a golf ball that provides distance when hit off a driver, control and stopping ability on full iron shots, and high spin on short "touch and feel" shots. Many conventional two-piece and thread wound performance golf balls have undesirable high spin rates on full shots. The excessive spin on full shots is a sacrifice made in order to achieve more spin which is desired on the shorter touch shots. It would be beneficial to provide a golf ball which has high spin for touch shots without generating excessive spin on full shots.

6

Summary of the Invention

An object of the invention is to provide a golf ball with a soft cover which has good scuff resistance.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide a golf ball having a favorable combination of spin rate and durability.

A further object of the invention is to provide a golf ball having a soft cover made from a cover material which is blended with minimal mixing difficulties.

Another object of the invention is to provide a method of making a golf ball which has a soft cover with good scuff resistance and cut resistance.

Another object of the invention is to provide a golf ball which has a high spin on shots of 250 feet or less and an average spin on full shots using a 9 iron.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide a method of making a durable golf ball with a relatively high spin rate.

A further object of the invention is to provide a multi-layer golf ball having exceptionally soft feel and high spin rates on short shots while maintaining good distance on full shots.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide a multi-layer golf ball having a high spin rate on short shots and not having an excessive spin rate on long shots.

Other objects will be in part obvious and in part pointed out more in detail hereafter.

The invention in a preferred form is a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer formed over the core, and an outer cover layer formed over the inner cover layer.

Ins. B1 The outer cover layer has a Shore D hardness of no more than 55, and the golf ball has a PGA compression of 100 or less and a coefficient of restitution of at least 0.770. B1

Another preferred form of the invention is a golf ball which comprises a core, an inner layer formed over the core, and an outer cover layer formed over said inner cover layer, said outer cover layer comprising an ionomeric resin, more than 75 wt % of the ionomeric resin consisting of one or more copolymers, each of which is formed from (a) an olefin having 2 to 8 carbon atoms, (b) an unsaturated monomer of the acrylate ester class having from 1 to 21 carbon atoms, and (c) an acid which includes at least one member selected from the group consisting of α , β -ethylenically unsaturated mono- or dicarboxylic acids with a portion of the acid being neutralized with cations, said outer cover layer having a Shore D hardness of no more than about 55, the golf ball having a coefficient of restitution of at least 0.770.

Yet another preferred form of the invention is a method of making a golf ball having a core, an inner cover layer, and an outer cover layer. The method comprises the steps of: obtaining a golf ball core, forming an inner cover layer over the core, and forming an outer cover layer over the inner cover layer, the outer cover layer having a Shore D hardness of no more than about 55. The golf ball has a PGA compression of 100 or less and a coefficient of restitution of at least 0.770. In a particularly preferred form of the invention, the outer cover layer comprises an ionomeric resin having at least 90 wt % of one or more copolymers formed from (a) an olefin having 2 to 8 carbon atoms, (b) an unsaturated monomer of the acrylate ester class having from 1 to 21 carbon atoms, and (c) an acid which is selected from

the group consisting of α , β -ethylenically unsaturated mono- or dicarboxylic acids and is neutralized with cations.

The one or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers preferably are terpolymers. In each copolymer, the olefin preferably is an alpha olefin, and the acid preferably is acrylic acid or methacrylic acid. The outer cover preferably has a Shore D hardness of no more than about 50. The PGA compression of the ball preferably is 90 or less. The coefficient of restitution of the ball preferably is at least 0.780.

The one or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers typically have a degree of neutralization of the acid groups in the range of about 10 - 100%. In a preferred form of the invention, the covers have a scuff resistance rating of 3.0 or better when subjected to the Golf Ball Cover Scuff Test which is described below.

In a particularly preferred form of the invention, the outer cover comprises an ionomeric resin having at least 75 weight % of one or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers. Each of the acrylate ester-containing copolymers preferably comprises ethylene, at least one acid selected from the group consisting of acrylic acid, maleic acid, fumaric acid, itaconic acid, methacrylic acid, and half-esters of maleic, fumaric and itaconic acids, and at least one comonomer selected from the group consisting of methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, n-octyl, 2-ethylhexyl, and 2-methoxyethyl-1-acrylates.

The invention accordingly comprises the several steps and the relation of one or more of such steps with respect to each of the others and the article possessing

9

the features, properties, and the relation of elements exemplified in the following detailed disclosure.

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of a golf ball embodying the invention illustrating a core 10 and a cover 12 consisting of an inner layer 14 and an outer layer 16 having dimples 18; and

B *a* *n* FIG. 2 is a diametrical cross-sectional view of a golf ball of the invention having a core 10 and a cover 12 made of an inner layer 14 and an outer layer 16 having dimples 18.

Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention relates to improved multi-layer golf balls, particularly a golf ball comprising a multi-layered cover 12 over a solid core 10, and method for making same. The golf balls of the invention, which can be of a standard or enlarged size, have a unique combination of high coefficient of restitution and a high spin rate on short shots.

The core 10 of the golf ball can be formed of a solid, a liquid, or any other substance which will result in an inner ball, i.e. core and inner cover layer, having the desired COR, compression and hardness. The multi-layered cover 12 comprises two layers: a first or inner layer or ply 14 and a second or outer layer or ply 16. The inner layer 14 can be ionomer, ionomer blends, non-ionomer, non-ionomer blends, or blends

of ionomer and non-ionomer. The outer layer 16 is softer than the inner layer and can be ionomer, ionomer blends, non-ionomer, non-ionomer blends or blends of ionomer and non-ionomer.

In a first preferred embodiment, the inner layer 14 is comprised of a high acid (i.e. greater than 16 weight percent acid) ionomer resin or high acid ionomer blend. Preferably, the inner layer is comprised of a blend of two or more high acid (i.e. at least 16 weight percent acid) ionomer resins neutralized to various extents by different metal cations. The inner cover layer may or may not include a metal stearate (e.g., zinc stearate) or other metal fatty acid salt. The purpose of the metal stearate or other metal fatty acid salt is to lower the cost of production without affecting the overall performance of the finished golf ball. In a second embodiment, the inner layer 14 is comprised of a low acid (i.e. 16 weight percent acid or less) ionomer blend. Preferably, the inner layer is comprised of a blend of two or more low acid (i.e. 16 weight percent acid or less) ionomer resins neutralized to various extents by different metal cations. The inner cover layer may or may not include a metal stearate (e.g., zinc stearate) or other metal fatty acid salt. The purpose of the metal stearate or other metal fatty acid salt is to lower the cost of production without affecting the overall performance of the finished golf ball.

Two principal properties involved in golf ball performance are resilience and hardness. Resilience is determined by the coefficient of restitution (C.O.R.), the constant "e" which is the ratio of the relative velocity of an elastic sphere after direct impact to that before impact. As a result, the coefficient of restitution ("e") can vary

from 0 to 1, with 1 being equivalent to a perfectly or completely elastic collision and 0 being equivalent to a perfectly or completely inelastic collision.

Resilience (C.O.R.), along with additional factors such as club head speed, angle of trajectory and ball configuration (i.e., dimple pattern) generally determine the distance a ball will travel when hit. Since club head speed and the angle of trajectory are factors not easily controllable by a manufacturer, factors of concern among manufacturers are the coefficient of restitution (C.O.R.) and the surface configuration of the ball.

The coefficient of restitution (C.O.R.) in solid core balls is a function of the composition of the molded core and of the cover. In balls containing a wound core (i.e., balls comprising a liquid or solid center, elastic windings, and a cover), the coefficient of restitution is a function of not only the composition of the center and cover, but also the composition and tension of the elastomeric windings. Although both the core and the cover contribute to the coefficient of restitution, the present invention is directed to the enhanced coefficient of restitution (and thus travel distance) which is affected by the cover composition.

In this regard, the coefficient of restitution of a golf ball is generally measured by propelling a ball at a given speed against a hard surface and measuring the ball's incoming and outgoing velocity electronically. As mentioned above, the coefficient of restitution is the ratio of the outgoing velocity to the incoming velocity. The coefficient of restitution must be carefully controlled in all commercial golf balls in order for the ball to be within the specifications regulated by the United States Golf

Association (U.S.G.A.). Along this line, the U.S.G.A. standards indicate that a "regulation" ball cannot have an initial velocity (i.e., the speed off the club) exceeding 255 feet per second. Since the coefficient of restitution of a ball is related to the ball's initial velocity, it is highly desirable to produce a ball having sufficiently high coefficient of restitution to closely approach the U.S.G.A. limit on initial velocity, while having an ample degree of softness (i.e., hardness) to produce enhanced playability (i.e., spin, etc.).

The hardness of the ball is the second principal property involved in the performance of a golf ball. The hardness of the ball can affect the playability of the ball on striking and the sound or "click" produced. Hardness is determined by the deformation (i.e., compression) of the ball under various load conditions applied across the ball's diameter (i.e., the lower the compression value, the harder the material). As indicated in U.S. Patent No. 4,674,751, softer covers permit the accomplished golfer to impart increased spin. This is because the softer covers deform on impact significantly more than balls having "harder" ionomeric resin covers. As a result, the better player is allowed to impart fade, draw or backspin to the ball thereby enhancing playability. Such properties may be determined by various spin rate tests which are described below in the Examples.

It has been found that a hard inner layer provides for a substantial increase in resilience (i.e., enhanced distance) over known multi-layer covered balls. The softer outer layer provides for desirable "feel" and high spin rate while maintaining respectable resiliency. The soft outer layer allows the cover to deform more during

impact and increases the area of contact between the club face and the cover, thereby imparting more spin on the ball. As a result, the soft cover provides the ball with a balata-like feel and playability characteristics with improved distance and durability. Consequently, the overall combination of the inner and outer cover layers results in a golf ball having enhanced resilience (improved travel distance) and durability (i.e. cut resistance, etc.) characteristics while maintaining and in many instances, improving the playability properties of the ball.

The combination of a hard inner cover layer with a soft, relatively low modulus ionomer, ionomer blend or other non-ionomeric thermoplastic elastomer outer cover layer provides for excellent overall coefficient of restitution (i.e., excellent resilience) because of the improved resiliency produced by the inner cover layer. While some improvement in resiliency is also produced by the outer cover layer, the outer cover layer generally provides for a more desirable feel and high spin, particularly at lower swing speeds with highly lofted clubs such as half wedge shots.

Inner Cover Layer

The inner cover layer is harder than the outer cover layer and generally has a thickness in the range of 0.01 to 0.10 inches, preferably 0.03 to 0.07 inches for a 1.68 inch ball and 0.05 to 0.10 inches for a 1.72 inch (or more) ball. The core and inner cover layer together form an inner ball having a coefficient of restitution of 0.780 or more and more preferably 0.790 or more, and a diameter in the range of 1.48 - 1.66 inches for a 1.68 inch ball and 1.50 - 1.70 inches for a 1.72 inch (or more) ball.

The inner cover layer has a Shore D hardness of 60 or more. It is particularly advantageous if the golf balls of the invention have an inner layer with a Shore D hardness of 65 or more. The above-described characteristics of the inner cover layer provide an inner ball having a PGA compression of 100 or less. It is found that when the inner ball has a PGA compression of 90 or less, excellent playability results.

The inner layer compositions of the first and third embodiments include the high acid ionomers such as those developed by E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company under the trademark "Surlyn®" and by Exxon Corporation under the trademark "Escor®" or tradename "Iotek", or blends thereof. Examples of compositions which may be used as the inner layer herein are set forth in detail in a continuation of U.S. Serial No. 08/174,765, which is a continuation of U.S. Serial No. 07/776,803 filed October 15, 1991, and Serial No. 08/493,089, which is a continuation of 07/981,751, which in turn is a continuation of Serial No. 07/901,660 filed June 19, 1992, incorporated herein by reference. Of course, the inner layer high acid ionomer compositions are not limited in any way to those compositions set forth in said copending applications.

The high acid ionomers which may be suitable for use in formulating the inner layer compositions of the subject first and third embodiments of the invention are ionic copolymers which are the metal, i.e., sodium, zinc, magnesium, etc., salts of the reaction product of an olefin having from about 2 to 8 carbon atoms and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid having from about 3 to 8 carbon atoms. Preferably, the ionomeric resins are copolymers of ethylene and either acrylic or methacrylic acid. In some circumstances, an additional comonomer such as an acrylate ester (i.e., iso-

or n-butylacrylate, etc.) can also be included to produce a softer terpolymer. The carboxylic acid groups of the copolymer are partially neutralized (i.e., approximately 10 - 100%, preferably 30 - 70%) by the metal ions. Each of the high acid ionomer resins which may be included in the inner layer cover compositions of the invention contains greater than about 16% by weight of a carboxylic acid, preferably from about 17% to about 25% by weight of a carboxylic acid, more preferably from about 18.5% to about 21.5% by weight of a carboxylic acid.

Although the inner layer cover composition of the first and third embodiments of the invention preferably includes a high acid ionomeric resin and the scope of the patent embraces all known high acid ionomeric resins falling within the parameters set forth above, only a relatively limited number of these high acid ionomeric resins have recently become commercially available.

The high acid ionomeric resins available from Exxon under the designation "Escor®" and or "Iotek", are somewhat similar to the high acid ionomeric resins available under the "Surlyn®" trademark. However, since the Escor®/Iotek ionomeric resins are sodium or zinc salts of poly(ethylene-acrylic acid) and the "Surlyn®" resins are zinc, sodium, magnesium, etc. salts of poly(ethylene-methacrylic acid), distinct differences in properties exist.

Examples of the high acid methacrylic acid based ionomers found suitable for use in accordance with this invention include Surlyn® 8220 and 8240 (both formerly known as forms of Surlyn AD-8422), Surlyn® 9220 (zinc cation), Surlyn® SEP-503-1 (zinc cation), and Surlyn® SEP-503-2 (magnesium cation). According to DuPont, all

of these ionomers contain from about 18.5 to about 21.5% by weight methacrylic acid.

More particularly, Surlyn® AD-8422 is currently commercially available from DuPont in a number of different grades (i.e., AD-8422-2, AD-8422-3, AD-8422-5, etc.) based upon differences in melt index. According to DuPont, Surlyn® 8422, which is believed recently to have been redesignated as 8220 and 8240, offers the following general properties when compared to Surlyn® 8920, the stiffest, hardest of all on the low acid grades (referred to as "hard" ionomers in U.S. Patent No. 4,884,814):

7180X

<u>LOW ACID</u>	<u>HIGH ACID</u>	
(15 wt% Acid)	(>20 wt% Acid)	

SURLYN®

SURLYN®

SURLYN®

8920

8422-2

8422-3

IONOMER

Cation	Na	Na	Na
Melt Index	1.2	2.8	1.0
Sodium, Wt%	2.3	1.9	2.4
Base Resin MI	60	60	60
MP ¹ , °C	88	86	85
FP ¹ , °C	47	48.5	45

COMPRESSION MOLDING²

Tensile Break,			
psi	4350	4190	5330
Yield, psi	2880	3670	3590
Elongation, %	315	263	289
Flex Mod,			
K psi	53.2	76.4	88.3
Shore D			
hardness	66	67	68

¹ DSC second heat, 10°C/min heating rate.

² Samples compression molded at 150°C annealed 24 hours at 60°C. 8422-2, -3 were homogenized at 190°C before molding.

In comparing Surlyn® 8920 to Surlyn® 8422-2 and Surlyn® 8422-3, it is noted that the high acid Surlyn® 8422-2 and 8422-3 ionomers have a higher tensile yield, lower elongation, slightly higher Shore D hardness and much higher flexural modulus. Surlyn® 8920 contains 15 weight percent methacrylic acid and is 59% neutralized with sodium.

18

In addition, Surlyn® SEP-503-1 (zinc cation) and Surlyn® SEP-503-2 (magnesium cation) are high acid zinc and magnesium versions of the Surlyn® AD 8422 high acid ionomers. When compared to the Surlyn® AD 8422 high acid ionomers, the Surlyn SEP-503-1 and SEP-503-2 ionomers can be defined as follows:

T: 90X

<u>Surlyn® Ionomer</u>	<u>Ion</u>	<u>Melt Index</u>	<u>Neutralization %</u>
AD 8422-3	Na	1.0	45
SEP 503-1	Zn	0.8	38
SEP 503-2	Mg	1.8	43

Furthermore, Surlyn® 8162 is a zinc cation ionomer resin containing approximately 20% by weight (i.e. 18.5 - 21.5% weight) methacrylic acid copolymer that has been 30 - 70% neutralized. Surlyn® 8162 is currently commercially available from DuPont.

Examples of the high acid acrylic acid based ionomers suitable for use in the present invention also include the Escor® or Iotek high acid ethylene acrylic acid ionomers produced by Exxon such as Ex 1001, 1002, 959, 960, 989, 990, 1003, 1004, 993, 994. In this regard, Escor® or Iotek 959 is a sodium ion neutralized ethylene-acrylic neutralized ethylene-acrylic acid copolymer. According to Exxon, Ioteks 959 and 960 contain from about 19.0 to about 21.0% by weight acrylic acid with approximately 30 to about 70 percent of the acid groups neutralized with sodium and zinc ions, respectively. The physical properties of these high acid acrylic acid based ionomers are as follows:

TABLE 1

<u>PROPERTY</u>	<u>Ex1001</u>	<u>Ex1002</u>	<u>ESCOR® (IOTEK) 959</u>	<u>Ex1003</u>	<u>Ex1004</u>	<u>ESCOR® (IOTEK) 960</u>
Melt index, g/10 min	1.0	1.6	2.0	1.1	2.0	1.8
Cation	Na	Na	Na	Zn	Zn	Zn
Melting Point, °F	183	183	172	180	180.5	174
Vicat Softening Point, °F	125	125	130	133	131	131
Tensile @ Break	34.4MPa	22.5 MPa	4600 psi	24.8 MPa	20.6 MPa	3500 psi
Elongation @ Break, %	341	348	325	387	437	430
Hardness, Shore D	63	62	66	54	53	57
Flexural Modulus	365 MPa	380 MPa	66,000 psi	147 MPa	130 MPa	27,000 psi

T210X

TABLE 2

		EX 989	EX 993	EX 994	EX 990
Melt index	g/10min	1.30	1.25	1.32	1.24
Moisture	ppm	482	214	997	654
Cation type	-	Na	Li	K	Zn
M+ content by AAS	wt%	2.74	0.87	4.54	0
Zn content by AAS	wt%	0	0	0	3.16
Density	kg/m3	959	945	976	977
Vicat softening point	°C	52.5	51	50	55.0
Crystallisation point	°C	40.1	39.8	44.9	54.4
Melting point	°C	82.6	81.0	80.4	81.0
Tensile at yield	MPa	23.8	24.6	22	16.5
Tensile at break	MPa	32.3	31.1	29.7	23.8
Elongation at break	%	330	260	340	357
1% secant modulus	MPa	389	379	312	205
Flexural modulus	MPa	340	368	303	183
Abrasion resistance	mg	20.0	9.2	15.2	20.5
Hardness Shore D	-	62	62.5	61	56
Zwick Rebound	%	61	63	59	48

Furthermore, as a result of the development by the assignee of this application of a number of new high acid ionomers neutralized to various extents by several different types of metal cations, such as by manganese, lithium, potassium, calcium and nickel cations, several new high acid ionomers and/or high acid ionomer blends

besides sodium, zinc and magnesium high acid ionomers or ionomer blends are now available for golf ball cover production. It has been found that these new cation neutralized high acid ionomer blends produce inner cover layer compositions exhibiting enhanced hardness and resilience due to synergies which occur during processing. Consequently, the metal cation neutralized high acid ionomer resins recently produced can be blended to produce substantially higher C.O.R.'s than those produced by the low acid ionomer inner cover compositions presently commercially available.

More particularly, several new metal cation neutralized high acid ionomer resins have been produced by the inventor by neutralizing, to various extents, high acid copolymers of an alpha-olefin and an alpha, beta-unsaturated carboxylic acid with a wide variety of different metal cation salts. This discovery is the subject matter of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/493,089, incorporated herein by reference. It has been found that numerous new metal cation neutralized high acid ionomer resins can be obtained by reacting a high acid copolymer (i.e. a copolymer containing greater than 16% by weight acid, preferably from about 17 to about 25 weight percent acid, and more preferably about 20 weight percent acid), with a metal cation salt capable of ionizing or neutralizing the copolymer to the extent desired (i.e. from about 10% to 90%).

The base copolymer is made up of greater than 16% by weight of an alpha, beta-unsaturated carboxylic acid and an alpha-olefin. Optionally, a softening comonomer can be included in the copolymer. Generally, the alpha-olefin has from 2 to 10 carbon atoms and is preferably ethylene, and the unsaturated carboxylic acid

is a carboxylic acid having from about 3 to 8 carbons. Examples of such acids include acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, ethacrylic acid, chloroacrylic acid, crotonic acid, maleic acid, fumaric acid, and itaconic acid, with acrylic acid being preferred.

The softening comonomer that can be optionally included in the inner cover layer for the golf ball of the invention may be selected from the group consisting of vinyl esters of aliphatic carboxylic acids wherein the acids have 2 to 10 carbon atoms, vinyl ethers wherein the alkyl groups contains 1 to 10 carbon atoms, and alkyl acrylates or methacrylates wherein the alkyl group contains 1 to 10 carbon atoms. Suitable softening comonomers include vinyl acetate, methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate, ethyl methacrylate, butyl acrylate, butyl methacrylate, or the like.

Consequently, examples of a number of copolymers suitable for use to produce the high acid ionomers included in the present invention include, but are not limited to, high acid embodiments of an ethylene/acrylic acid copolymer, an ethylene/methacrylic acid copolymer, an ethylene/itaconic acid copolymer, an ethylene/maleic acid copolymer, an ethylene/methacrylic acid/vinyl acetate copolymer, an ethylene/acrylic acid/vinyl alcohol copolymer, etc. The base copolymer broadly contains greater than 16% by weight unsaturated carboxylic acid, from about 39 to about 83% by weight ethylene and from 0 to about 40% by weight of a softening comonomer. Preferably, the copolymer contains about 20% by weight unsaturated carboxylic acid and about 80% by weight ethylene. Most preferably, the copolymer contains about 20% acrylic acid with the remainder being ethylene.

Along these lines, examples of the preferred high acid base copolymers which fulfill the criteria set forth above, are a series of ethylene-acrylic copolymers which are commercially available from The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan, under the "Primacor" designation. These high acid base copolymers exhibit the typical properties set forth below in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Typical Properties of Primacor

Ethylene-Acrylic Acid Copolymers

GRADE	PERCENT ACID	DENSITY, g/cc	MELT INDEX, g/10min	TENSILE YD. ST (psi)	FLEXURAL MODULUS (psi)	VICAT SOFT PT (°C)	SHORE D HARDNESS
ASTM		0-792	0-1238	0-638	0-790	0-1525	0-2240
5980	20.0	0.958	300.0	-	4800	43	50
5990	20.0	0.955	1300.0	650	2600	40	42
5990	20.0	0.955	1300.0	650	3200	40	42
5981	20.0	0.960	300.0	900	3200	46	48
5981	20.0	0.960	300.0	900	3200	46	48
5983	20.0	0.958	500.0	850	3100	44	45
5991	20.0	0.953	2600.0	635	2600	38	40

*The Melt Index values are obtained according to ASTM D-1238, at 190°C.

Due to the high molecular weight of the Primacor 5981 grade of the ethylene-acrylic acid copolymer, this copolymer is the more preferred grade utilized in the invention.

The metal cation salts utilized in the invention are those salts which provide the metal cations capable of neutralizing, to various extents, the carboxylic acid groups of the high acid copolymer. These include acetate, oxide or hydroxide salts of lithium, calcium, zinc, sodium, potassium, nickel, magnesium, and manganese.

Examples of such lithium ion sources are lithium hydroxide monohydrate, lithium hydroxide, lithium oxide and lithium acetate. Sources for the calcium ion include calcium hydroxide, calcium acetate and calcium oxide. Suitable zinc ion sources are zinc acetate dihydrate and zinc acetate, a blend of zinc oxide and acetic acid. Examples of sodium ion sources are sodium hydroxide and sodium acetate. Sources

for the potassium ion include potassium hydroxide and potassium acetate. Suitable nickel ion sources are nickel acetate, nickel oxide and nickel hydroxide. Sources of magnesium include magnesium oxide, magnesium hydroxide, magnesium acetate. Sources of manganese include manganese acetate and manganese oxide.

The new metal cation neutralized high acid ionomer resins are produced by reacting the high acid base copolymer with various amounts of the metal cation salts above the crystalline melting point of the copolymer, such as at a temperature from about 200°F to about 500°F, preferably from about 250°F to about 350°F under high shear conditions at a pressure of from about 10 psi to 10,000 psi. Other well known blending techniques may also be used. The amount of metal cation salt utilized to produce the new metal cation neutralized high acid based ionomer resins is the quantity which provides a sufficient amount of the metal cations to neutralize the desired percentage of the carboxylic acid groups in the high acid copolymer. The extent of neutralization is generally from about 10% to about 90%.

As indicated below in Table 4 and more specifically in Example 1 in U.S. Application Serial No. 08/493,089, a number of new types of metal cation neutralized high acid ionomers can be obtained from the above indicated process. These include new high acid ionomer resins neutralized to various extents with manganese, lithium, potassium, calcium and nickel cations. In addition, when a high acid ethylene/acrylic acid copolymer is utilized as the base copolymer component of the invention and this component is subsequently neutralized to various extents with the metal cation salts producing acrylic acid based high acid ionomer resins neutralized with cations such as

sodium, potassium, lithium, zinc, magnesium, manganese, calcium and nickel, several new cation neutralized acrylic acid based high acid ionomer resins are produced.

TABLE 4

<u>Formulation No.</u>	<u>Wt-% Cation Salt</u>	<u>Wt-% Neutralization</u>	<u>Melt Index</u>	<u>C.O.R.</u>	<u>Shore D Hardness</u>
1(NaOH)	6.98	67.5	0.9	.804	71
2(NaOH)	5.66	54.0	2.4	.808	73
3(NaOH)	3.84	35.9	12.2	.812	69
4(NaOH)	2.91	27.0	17.5	.812	(brittle)
5(HnAc)	19.6	71.7	7.5	.809	73
6(HnAc)	23.1	88.3	3.5	.814	77
7(HnAc)	15.3	53.0	7.5	.810	72
8(HnAc)	26.5	106	0.7	.813	(brittle)
9(LiOH)	4.54	71.3	0.6	.810	74
10(LiOH)	3.38	52.5	4.2	.818	72
11(LiOH)	2.34	35.9	18.6	.815	72
12(KOH)	5.30	36.0	19.3	Broke	70
13(KOH)	8.26	57.9	7.18	.804	70
14(KOH)	10.7	77.0	4.3	.801	67
15(ZnAc)	17.9	71.5	0.2	.806	71
16(ZnAc)	13.9	53.0	0.9	.797	69
17(ZnAc)	9.91	36.1	3.4	.793	67
18(HgAc)	17.4	70.7	2.8	.814	74
19(HgAc)	20.6	87.1	1.5	.815	76
20(HgAc)	13.8	53.8	4.1	.814	74
21(CaAc)	13.2	69.2	1.1	.813	74
22(CaAc)	7.12	34.9	10.1	.808	70

Controls:

50/50 Blend of Ioteks 8000/7030 C.O.R.=.810/65 Shore D Hardness
 DuPont High Acid Surlyn® 8422 (Na) C.O.R.=.811/70 Shore D Hardness
 DuPont High Acid Surlyn® 8162 (Zn) C.O.R.=.807/65 Shore D Hardness
 Exxon High Acid Iotek EX-960 (Zn) C.O.R.=.796/65 Shore D Hardness

<u>Formulation No.</u>	<u>Wt-X Cation Salt</u>	<u>Wt-X Neutralization</u>	<u>Melt Index</u>	<u>C.O.R.</u>	<u>Shore D Hardness</u>
23(HgO)	2.91	53.5	2.5	.813	
24(HgO)	3.85	71.5	2.8	.808	
25(HgO)	4.76	89.3	1.1	.809	
26(HgO)	1.96	35.7	7.5	.815	

Control for Formulations 23-26 is 50/50 Iotek 8000/7030,
C.O.R.=.814, Formulation 26 C.O.R. was normalized to that control accordingly

<u>Formulation No.</u>	<u>Wt-X Cation Salt</u>	<u>Wt-X Neutralization</u>	<u>Melt Index</u>	<u>C.O.R.</u>	
27(HIAc)	13.04	61.1	0.2	.802	71
28(HIAc)	10.71	48.9	0.5	.799	72
29(HIAc)	8.26	36.7	1.8	.796	69
30(HIAc)	5.66	24.4	7.5	.786	64

Control for Formulation Nos. 27-30 is 50/50 Iotek 8000/7030, C.O.R.=.807

When compared to low acid versions of similar cation neutralized ionomer resins, the new metal cation neutralized high acid ionomer resins exhibit enhanced hardness, modulus and resilience characteristics. These are properties that are particularly desirable in a number of thermoplastic fields, including the field of golf ball manufacturing.

When utilized in the construction of the inner layer of a multi-layered golf ball, it has been found that the new acrylic acid based high acid ionomers extend the range of hardness beyond that previously obtainable while maintaining the beneficial properties (i.e. durability, click, feel, etc.) of the softer low acid ionomer covered balls, such as balls produced utilizing the low acid ionomers disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,884,814 and 4,911,451.

Moreover, as a result of the development of a number of new acrylic acid based high acid ionomer resins neutralized to various extents by several different types of

28

metal cations, such as manganese, lithium, potassium, calcium and nickel cations, several new ionomers or ionomer blends are now available for production of an inner cover layer of a multi-layered golf ball. By using these high acid ionomer resins, harder, stiffer inner cover layers having higher C.O.R.s, and thus longer distance, can be obtained.

More preferably, it has been found that when two or more of the above-indicated high acid ionomers, particularly blends of sodium and zinc high acid ionomers, are processed to produce the covers of multi-layered golf balls, (i.e., the inner cover layer herein) the resulting golf balls will travel further than previously known multi-layered golf balls produced with low acid ionomer resin covers due to the balls' enhanced coefficient of restitution values.

The low acid ionomers which may be suitable for use in formulating the inner layer compositions of the second and third embodiments of the subject invention are ionic copolymers which are the metal, i.e., sodium, zinc, magnesium, etc., salts of the reaction product of an olefin having from about 2 to 8 carbon atoms and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid having from about 3 to 8 carbon atoms. Preferably, the ionomeric resins are copolymers of ethylene and either acrylic or methacrylic acid. In some circumstances, an additional comonomer such as an acrylate ester (i.e., iso- or n-butylacrylate, etc.) can also be included to produce a softer terpolymer. The carboxylic acid groups of the copolymer are partially neutralized (i.e., approximately 10 - 100%, preferably 30 - 70%) by the metal ions. Each of the low acid ionomer

resins which may be included in the inner layer cover compositions of the invention contains 16% by weight or less of a carboxylic acid.

The inner layer compositions include the low acid ionomers such as those developed and sold by E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company under the trademark "Surlyn®" and by Exxon Corporation under the trademark "Escor®" or tradename "Iotek", or blends thereof.

The low acid ionomer resins available from Exxon under the designation "Escor®" and/or "Iotek", are somewhat similar to the low acid ionomeric resins available under the "Surlyn®" trademark. However, since the Escor®/Iotek ionomeric resins are sodium or zinc salts of poly(ethylene-acrylic acid) and the "Surlyn®" resins are zinc, sodium, magnesium, etc. salts of poly(ethylene-methacrylic acid), distinct differences in properties exist.

a When utilized in the construction of the inner layer of a multi-layered golf ball, it has been found that the low acid ionomer blends extend the range of compression and spin rates beyond that previously obtainable. More preferably, it has been found that when two or more low acid ionomers, particularly blends of sodium and zinc ionomers, are processed to produce the covers of multi-layered golf balls, (i.e., the inner cover layer herein) the resulting golf balls will travel further and at an enhanced spin rate than previously known multi-layered golf balls. ^{Such} ~~even~~ an improvement is particularly noticeable in enlarged or oversized golf balls.

As shown in the Examples, use of an inner layer formulated from blends of lower acid ionomers produces multi-layer golf balls having enhanced compression and spin rates. These are the properties desired by the more skilled golfer.

In a third embodiment of the inner cover layer, a blend of high and low acid ionomer resins is used. These can be the ionomer resins described above, combined in a weight ratio which preferably is within the range of 10 - 90 to 90 - 10 high and low acid ionomer resins.

A fourth embodiment of the inner cover layer is primarily or fully non-ionomeric thermoplastic material. Suitable non-ionomeric materials include metallocene catalyzed polyolefins or polyamides, polyamide/ionomer blends, polyphenylene ether/ionomer blends, etc., which have a shore D hardness of ≥ 60 and a flex modulus of greater than about 30,000 psi, or other hardness and flex modulus values which are comparable to the properties of the ionomers described above. Other suitable materials include but are not limited to thermoplastic or thermosetting polyurethanes, a polyester elastomer such as that marketed by DuPont under the trademark Hytrel®, or a polyester amide such as that marketed by Elf Atochem S.A. under the trademark Pebax®, a blend of two or more non-ionomeric thermoplastic elastomers, or a blend of one or more ionomers and one or more non-ionomeric thermoplastic elastomers. These materials can be blended with the ionomers described above in order to reduce cost relative to the use of higher quantities of ionomer.

Outer Cover Layer

While the core with the hard inner cover layer formed thereon provides the multi-layer golf ball with power and distance, the outer cover layer 16 is comparatively softer than the inner cover layer. The softness provides for the feel and playability characteristics typically associated with balata or balata-blend balls. The outer cover layer or ply is comprised of a relatively soft, low modulus (about 1,000 psi to about 10,000 psi) and, in one embodiment, low acid (less than 16 weight percent acid) ionomer, an ionomer blend, a non-ionomeric thermoplastic or thermosetting material such as, but not limited to, a metallocene catalyzed polyolefin such as EXACT material available from EXXON, a polyurethane, a polyester elastomer such as that marketed by DuPont under the trademark Hytrel®, or a polyester amide such as that marketed by Elf Atochem S.A. under the trademark Pebax®, a blend of two or more non-ionomeric thermoplastic or thermosetting materials, or a blend of one or more ionomers and one or more non-ionomeric thermoplastic materials. The outer layer is fairly thin (i.e. from about 0.010 to about 0.10 inches in thickness, more desirably 0.03 to 0.06 inches in thickness for a 1.680 inch ball and 0.04 to 0.07 inches in thickness for a 1.72 inch or more ball), but thick enough to achieve desired playability characteristics while minimizing expense. Thickness is defined as the average thickness of the non-dimpled areas of the outer cover layer. The outer cover layer 16 has a Shore D hardness of 55 or less, and more preferably 50 or less.

In one embodiment, the outer cover layer preferably is formed from an ionomer which constitutes at least 75 weight % of an acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymer

or blend of acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers. This type of outer cover layer in combination with the core and inner cover layer described above results in golf ball covers having a favorable combination of durability and spin rate. The one or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers each contain an olefin, an acrylate ester, and an acid. In a blend of two or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers, each copolymer may contain the same or a different olefin, acrylate ester and acid than are contained in the other copolymers. Preferably, the acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymer or copolymers are terpolymers, but additional monomers can be combined into the copolymers if the monomers do not substantially reduce the scuff resistance or other good playability properties of the cover.

For a given copolymer, the olefin is selected from the group consisting of olefins having 2 to 8 carbon atoms, including, as non-limiting examples, ethylene, propylene, butene-1, hexene-1 and the like. Preferably the olefin is ethylene.

The acrylate ester is an unsaturated monomer having from 1 to 21 carbon atoms which serves as a softening comonomer. The acrylate ester preferably is methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, n-octyl, 2-ethylhexyl, or 2-methoxyethyl 1-acrylate, and most preferably is methyl acrylate or n-butyl acrylate. Another suitable type of softening comonomer is an alkyl vinyl ether selected from the group consisting of n-butyl, n-hexyl, 2-ethylhexyl, and 2-methoxyethyl vinyl ethers.

The acid is a mono- or dicarboxylic acid and preferably is selected from the group consisting of methacrylic, acrylic, ethacrylic, α -chloroacrylic, crotonic, maleic, fumaric, and itaconic acid, or the like, and half esters of maleic, fumaric and itaconic

acid, or the like. The acid group of the copolymer is 10 - 100% neutralized with any suitable cation, for example, zinc, sodium, magnesium, lithium, potassium, calcium, manganese, nickel, chromium, tin, aluminum, or the like. It has been found that particularly good results are obtained when the neutralization level is about 50 - 100%.

The one or more acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymers each has an individual Shore D hardness of about 5 - 64. The overall Shore D hardness of the outer cover is 55 or less, and generally is 40 - 55. It is preferred that the overall Shore D hardness of the outer cover is in the range of 40 - 50 in order to impart particularly good playability characteristics to the ball.

The outer cover layer of the invention is formed over a core to result in a golf ball having a coefficient of restitution of at least 0.770, more preferably at least 0.780, and most preferably at least 0.790. The coefficient of restitution of the ball will depend upon the properties of both the core and the cover. The PGA compression of the golf ball is 100 or less, and preferably is 90 or less.

The acrylate ester-containing ionic copolymer or copolymers used in the outer cover layer can be obtained by neutralizing commercially available acrylate ester-containing acid copolymers such as polyethylene-methyl acrylate-acrylic acid terpolymers, including ESCOR ATX (Exxon Chemical Company) or poly (ethylene-butyl acrylate-methacrylic acid) terpolymers, including NUCREL (DuPont Chemical Company). Particularly preferred commercially available materials include ATX 320, ATX 325, ATX 310, ATX 350, and blends of these materials with NUCREL 010 and

NUCREL 035. The acid groups of these materials and blends are neutralized with one or more of various cation salts including zinc, sodium, magnesium, lithium, potassium, calcium, manganese, nickel, etc. The degree of neutralization ranges from 10 - 100%. Generally, a higher degree of neutralization results in a harder and tougher cover material. The properties of non-limiting examples of commercially available un-neutralized acid terpolymers which can be used to form the golf ball outer cover layers of the invention are provided below in Table 5.

Table 5

Trade Name	Melt Index dg/min ASTM D1238	Acid No. % KOH/g	Flex modulus MPa (ASTM D790)	Hardness (Shore D)
ATX 310	6	45	80	44
ATX 320	5	45	50	34
ATX 325	20	45	9	30
ATX 350	6	15	20	28
Nucrel 010	11	60	40	40
Nucrel 035	35	60	59	40

The ionomer resins used to form the outer cover layers can be produced by reacting the acrylate ester-containing acid copolymer with various amounts of the metal cation salts at a temperature above the crystalline melting point of the copolymer, such as a temperature from about 200°F to about 500°F, preferably from about 250°F to about 350°F, under high shear conditions at a pressure of from about 100 psi to 10,000 psi. Other well known blending techniques may also be used. The

amount of metal cation salt utilized to produce the neutralized ionic copolymers is the quantity which provides a sufficient amount of the metal cations to neutralize the desired percentage of the carboxylic acid groups in the high acid copolymer. When two or more different copolymers are to be used, the copolymers can be blended before or after neutralization. Generally, it is preferable to blend the copolymers before they are neutralized to provide for optimal mixing.

The compatibility of the acrylate ester-containing copolymers with each other in a copolymer blend produces a golf ball outer cover layer having a surprisingly good scuff resistance for a given hardness of the outer cover layer. The golf ball according to the invention has a scuff resistance of no higher than 3.0. It is preferred that the golf ball has a scuff resistance of no higher than about 2.5 to ensure that the golf ball is scuff resistant when used in conjunction with a variety of types of clubs, including sharp-grooved irons, which are particularly inclined to result in scuffing of golf ball covers. The best results according to the invention are obtained when the outer cover layer has a scuff resistance of no more than about 2.0. The scuff resistance test is described in detail below.

Additional materials may also be added to the inner and outer cover layer of the present invention as long as they do not substantially reduce the playability properties of the ball. Such materials include dyes (for example, Ultramarine Blue sold by Whitaker, Clark, and Daniels of South Plainsfield, N.J.) (see U.S. Pat. No. 4,679,795), pigments such as titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, barium sulfate and zinc sulfate; UV absorbers; antioxidants; antistatic agents; and stabilizers. Moreover, the cover

compositions of the present invention may also contain softening agents such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,312,857 and 5,306,760, including plasticizers, metal stearates, processing acids, etc., and reinforcing materials such as glass fibers and inorganic fillers, as long as the desired properties produced by the golf ball covers of the invention are not impaired.

The outer layer in another embodiment of the invention includes a blend of a soft (low acid) ionomer resin with a small amount of a hard (high acid) ionomer resin. A low modulus ionomer suitable for use in the outer layer blend has a flexural modulus measuring from about 1,000 to about 10,000 psi, with a hardness of about 20 to about 40 on the Shore D scale. A high modulus ionomer herein is one which measures from about 15,000 to about 70,000 psi as measured in accordance with ASTM method D-790. The hardness may be defined as at least 50 on the Shore D scale as measured in accordance with ASTM method D-2240.

Soft ionomers primarily are used in formulating the hard/soft blends of the cover compositions. These ionomers include acrylic acid and methacrylic acid based soft ionomers. They are generally characterized as comprising sodium, zinc, or other mono- or divalent metal cation salts of a terpolymer of an olefin having from about 2 to 8 carbon atoms, methacrylic acid, acrylic acid, or another α , β - unsaturated carboxylic acid, and an unsaturated monomer of the acrylate ester class having from 1 to 21 carbon atoms. The soft ionomer is preferably made from an acrylic acid base polymer in an unsaturated monomer of the acrylate ester class.

Certain ethylene-acrylic acid based soft ionomer resins developed by the Exxon Corporation under the designation "Iotek 7520" (referred to experimentally by differences in neutralization and melt indexes as LDX 195, LDX 196, LDX 218 and LDX 219) may be combined with known hard ionomers such as those indicated above to produce the inner and outer cover layers. The combination produces higher C.O.R.s at equal or softer hardness, higher melt flow (which corresponds to improved, more efficient molding, i.e., fewer rejects) as well as significant cost savings versus the outer layer of multi-layer balls produced by other known hard-soft ionomer blends as a result of the lower overall raw materials costs and improved yields.

While the exact chemical composition of the resins to be sold by Exxon under the designation Iotek 7520 is considered by Exxon to be confidential and proprietary information, Exxon's experimental product data sheet lists the following physical properties of the ethylene acrylic acid zinc ionomer developed by Exxon:

TABLE 6

Physical Properties of Iotek 7520

<u>Property</u>	<u>ASTM Method</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Typical Value</u>
Melt Index	D-1238	g/10 min.	2
Density	D-1505	kg/m ³	0.962
Cation			Zinc
Melting Point	D-3417	°C	66
Crystallization Point	D-3417	°C	49
Vicat Softening Point	D-1525	°C	42

Plaque Properties (2 mm thick Compression Molded Plaques)

Tensile at Break	D-638	MPa	10
Yield Point	D-638	MPa	None
Elongation at Break	D-638	%	760
1% Secant Modulus	D-638	MPa	22
Shore D Hardness	D-2240		32
Flexural Modulus	D-790	MPa	26
Zwick Rebond	ISO 4862	%	52
De Mattia Flex Resistance	D-430	Cycles	>5000

In addition, test data collected by the inventor indicates that Iotek 7520 resins have Shore D hardnesses of about 32 to 36 (per ASTM D-2240), melt flow indexes of 3 ± 0.5 g/10 min (at 190°C. per ASTM D-1288), and a flexural modulus of about 2500 - 3500 psi (per ASTM D-790). Furthermore, testing by an independent testing

laboratory by pyrolysis mass spectrometry indicates that lotek 7520 resins are generally zinc salts of a terpolymer of ethylene, acrylic acid, and methyl acrylate.

Furthermore, the inventor has found that a newly developed grade of an acrylic acid based soft ionomer available from the Exxon Corporation under the designation lotek 7510 is also effective when combined with the hard ionomers indicated above in producing golf ball covers exhibiting higher C.O.R. values at equal or softer hardness than those produced by known hard-soft ionomer blends. In this regard, lotek 7510 has the advantages (i.e. improved flow, higher C.O.R. values at equal hardness, increased clarity, etc.) produced by the lotek 7520 resin when compared to the methacrylic acid base soft ionomers known in the art (such as the Surlyn® 8625 and the Surlyn® 8629 combinations disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,884,814).

In addition, lotek 7510, when compared to lotek 7520, produces slightly higher C.O.R. values at equal softness/hardness due to the lotek 7510's higher hardness and neutralization. Similarly, lotek 7510 produces better release properties (from the mold cavities) due to its slightly higher stiffness and lower flow rate than lotek 7520. This is important in production where the soft covered balls tend to have lower yields caused by sticking in the molds and subsequent punched pin marks from the knockouts.

According to Exxon, lotek 7510 is of similar chemical composition as lotek 7520 (i.e. a zinc salt of a terpolymer of ethylene, acrylic acid, and methyl acrylate) but is more highly neutralized. Based upon FTIR analysis, lotek 7520 is estimated to be about 30 - 40 wt.-% neutralized and lotek 7510 is estimated to be about 40 - 60 wt.-%

% neutralized. The typical properties of Iotek 7510 in comparison of those of Iotek 7520 in comparison of those of Iotek 7520 are set forth below:

TABLE 7
Physical Properties of Iotek 7510
in Comparison to Iotek 7520

	<u>IOTEK 7520</u>	<u>IOTEK 7510</u>
MI, g/10 min	2.0	0.8
Density, g/cc	0.96	0.97
Melting Point, °F	151	149
Vicat Softening Point, °F	108	109
Flex Modulus, psi	3800	5300
Tensile Strength, psi	1450	1750
Elongation, %	760	690
Hardness, Shore D	32	35

The hard ionomer resins utilized to produce the outer cover layer composition hard/soft blends include ionic copolymers which are the sodium, zinc, magnesium, lithium, etc. salts of the reaction product of an olefin having from 2 to 8 carbon atoms and an unsaturated monocarboxylic acid having from 3 to 8 carbon atoms. The

carboxylic acid groups of the copolymer may be totally or partially (i.e. approximately 15 - 75 percent) neutralized.

The hard ionomeric resins are likely copolymers of ethylene and acrylic and/or methacrylic acid, with copolymers of ethylene and acrylic acid being the most preferred. Two or more types of hard ionomeric resins may be blended into the outer cover layer compositions in order to produce the desired properties of the resulting golf balls.

As discussed earlier herein, the hard ionomeric resins introduced under the designation Escor® and sold under the designation "Iotek" are somewhat similar to the hard ionomeric resins sold under the Surlyn® trademark. However, since the "Iotek" ionomeric resins are sodium or zinc salts of poly(ethylene-acrylic acid) and the Surlyn® resins are zinc or sodium salts of poly(ethylene-methacrylic acid) some distinct differences in properties exist. As more specifically indicated in the data set forth below, the hard "Iotek" resins (i.e., the acrylic acid based hard ionomer resins) are the more preferred hard resins for use in formulating the outer layer blends for use in the present invention. In addition, various blends of "Iotek" and Surlyn® hard ionomeric resins, as well as other available ionomeric resins, may be utilized in the present invention in a similar manner.

Examples of commercially available hard ionomeric resins which may be used in the present invention in formulating the outer cover blends include the hard sodium ionic copolymer sold under the trademark Surlyn® 8940 and the hard zinc ionic copolymer sold under the trademark Surlyn® 9910. Surlyn® 8940 is a copolymer of

ethylene with methacrylic acid and about 15 weight percent acid which is about 29 percent neutralized with sodium ions. This resin has an average melt flow index of about 2.8. Surlyn® 9910 is a copolymer of ethylene and methacrylic acid with about 15 weight percent acid which is about 58 percent neutralized with zinc ions. The average melt flow index of Surlyn® 9910 is about 0.7. The typical properties of Surlyn® 9910 and 8940 are set forth below in Table 8:

TABLE 8

Typical Properties of Commercially Available Hard Surlyn® Resins

Suitable for Use in the Outer Layer Blends of the Present Invention

	<u>ASTM D</u>	<u>8940</u>	<u>9910</u>	<u>8920</u>	<u>8528</u>	<u>9970</u>	<u>9730</u>
Cation Type		Sodium	Zinc	Sodium	Sodium	Zinc	Zinc
Melt flow index, gms/10 min.	D-1238	2.8	0.7	0.9	1.3	14.0	1.6
Specific Gravity, g/cm ³	D-792	0.95	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.95
Hardness, Shore D	D-2240	66	64	66	60	62	63
Tensile Strength, (kpsi), MPa	D-638	(4.8) 33.1	(3.6) 24.8	(5.4) 37.2	(4.2) 29.0	(3.2) 22.0	(4.1) 28.0
Elongation, %	D-638	470	290	350	450	460	460
Flexural Modulus, (kpsi) MPa	D-790	(51) 350	(48) 330	(55) 380	(32) 220	(28) 190	(30) 210
Tensile Impact (23°C) KJ/m ² (ft.-lbs./in ²)	D-1822S	1020 (485)	1020 (485)	865 (410)	1160 (550)	760 (360)	1240 (590)
Vicat Temperature, °C	D-1525	63	62	58	73	61	73

Examples of the more pertinent acrylic acid based hard ionomer resin suitable for use in the present outer cover composition sold under the "Iotek" tradename by

the Exxon Corporation include Iotek 8000, 8010, 8020, 8030, 7030, 7010, 7020, 1002, 1003, 959 and 960. The physical properties of Iotek 959 and 960 are shown above. The typical properties of the remainder of these and other Iotek hard ionomers suited for use in formulating the outer layer cover composition are set forth below in

Table 9:

Table 9
Typical Properties of Iotek Ionomers

Resin Properties	ASTM Method	Units	4000	4010	8000	8020	8030
Cation type			zinc	zinc	sodium	sodium	sodium
Melt Index	D-1238	g/10 min.	2.5	1.5	0.8	1.6	2.8
Density	D-1505	kg/m ³	963	963	954	960	960
Melting Point	D-3417	°C	90	90	90	87.5	87.5
Crystallization Point	D-3417	°C	62	64	56	53	55
Vicat Softening Point	D-1525	°C	62	63	61	64	67
% Weight Acrylic Acid			16		11		
% of Acid Groups cation neutralized			30		40		
Plaque Properties (3 mm thick, compression molded)	ASTM Method	Units	4000	4010	8000	8020	8030
Tensile at break	D-638	MPa	24	26	36	31.5	28
Yield point	D-638	MPa	none	none	21	21	23
Elongation at break	D-638	%	395	420	350	410	395
1% Secant modulus	D-638	MPa	160	160	300	350	390
Shore Hardness D	D-2240	--	55	55	61	58	59
Film Properties (50 micron film 2.2:1 blow-up ratio)			4000	4010	8000	8020	8030
Tensile at Break MD	D-882	MPa	41	39	42	52	47.4
TD	D-882	MPa	37	38	38	38	40.5
Yield point MD	D-882	MPa	15	17	17	23	21.6
TD	D-882	MPa	14	15	15	21	20.7
Elongation at Break MD	D-882	%	310	270	260	295	305
TD	D-882	%	360	340	280	340	345
1% Secant modulus MD	D-882	MPa	210	215	390	380	380
TD	D-882	MPa	200	225	380	350	345
Dart Drop Impact	D-1709	g/micron	12.4	12.5	20.3		

Table 9 cont'd.

<u>Resin Properties</u>	<u>ASTM Method</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>7010</u>	<u>7020</u>	<u>7030</u>
Cation type			zinc	zinc	zinc
Melt Index	D-1238	g/10 min.	0.8	1.5	2.5
Density	D-1505	kg/m ³	960	960	960
Melting Point	D-3417	°C	90	90	90
Crystallization Point	D-3417	°C	--	--	--
Vicat Softening Point	D-1525	°C	60	63	62.5
%Weight Acrylic Acid			--	--	--
% of Acid Groups Cation Neutralized			--	--	--
<u>Plaque Properties (3 mm thick, compression molded)</u>	<u>ASTM Method</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>7010</u>	<u>7020</u>	<u>7030</u>
Tensile at break	D-638	MPa	38	38	38
Yield Point	D-638	MPa	none	none	none
Elongation at break	D-638	%	500	420	395
1% Secant modulus	D-638	MPa	--	--	--
Shore Hardness D	D-2240	--	57	55	55

It has been determined that when hard/soft ionomer blends are used for the outer cover layer, good results are achieved when the relative combination is in a range of about 3 - 25 percent hard ionomer and about 75 - 97 percent soft ionomer.

Moreover, in alternative embodiments, the outer cover layer formulation may also comprise up to 100 wt % of a soft, low modulus non-ionomeric thermoplastic material including a polyester polyurethane such as B.F. Goodrich Company's Estane® polyester polyurethane X-4517. The non-ionomeric thermoplastic material may be blended with a soft ionomer. For example, polyamides blend well with soft ionomer. According to B.F. Goodrich, Estane® X-4517 has the following properties:

Properties of Estane® X-4517

7160X

Tensile	1430
100%	815
200%	1024
300%	1193
Elongation	641
Youngs Modulus	1826
Hardness A/D	88/39
Dayshore Rebound	59
Solubility in Water	Insoluble
Melt processing temperature	> 350°F (> 177°C)
Specific Gravity (H ₂ O = 1)	1.1 - 1.3

Other soft, relatively low modulus non-ionic thermoplastic materials may also be utilized to produce the outer cover layer as long as the non-ionic thermoplastic materials produce the playability and durability characteristics desired without adversely affecting the enhanced travel distance characteristic produced by the high acid ionomer resin composition. These include, but are not limited to thermoplastic polyurethanes such as Texin thermoplastic polyurethanes from Mobay Chemical Co. and the Pellethane thermoplastic polyurethanes from Dow Chemical Co.; non-ionic thermoset polyurethanes including but not limited to those disclosed in

U.S. Patent 5,334,673; cross-linked metallocene catalyzed polyolefins; ionomer/rubber blends such as those in Spalding U.S. Patents 4,986,545; 5,098,105 and 5,187,013; and, Hytrel polyester elastomers from DuPont and Pebax polyestaramides from Elf Atochem S.A.

Core

The cores of the inventive golf balls typically have a coefficient of restitution of about 0.750 or more, more preferably 0.770 or more and a PGA compression of about 90 or less, and more preferably 70 or less. The core used in the golf ball of the invention preferably is a solid. The term "solid cores" as used herein refers not only to one piece cores but also to those cores having a separate solid layer beneath the covers and over the central core. The cores have a weight of 25 - 40 grams and preferably 30 - 40 grams. When the golf ball of the invention has a solid core, this core can be compression molded from a slug of uncured or lightly cured elastomer composition comprising a high cis content polybutadiene and a metal salt of an α , β , ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid such as zinc mono- or diacrylate or methacrylate. To achieve higher coefficients of restitution and/or to increase hardness in the core, the manufacturer may include a small amount of a metal oxide such as

zinc oxide. In addition, larger amounts of metal oxide than are needed to achieve the desired coefficient may be included in order to increase the core weight so that the finished ball more closely approaches the U.S.G.A. upper weight limit of 1.620 ounces. Non-limiting examples of other materials which may be used in the core composition including compatible rubbers or ionomers, and low molecular weight fatty acids such as stearic acid. Free radical initiator catalysts such as peroxides are admixed with the core composition so that on the application of heat and pressure, a curing or cross-linking reaction takes place.

Wound cores are generally produced by winding a very large elastic thread around a solid or liquid filled balloon center. The elastic thread is wound around the center to produce a finished core of about 1.4 to 1.6 inches in diameter, generally. Since the core material is not an integral part of the present invention, a detailed discussion concerning the specific types of core materials which may be utilized with the cover compositions of the invention are not specifically set forth herein.

Method of Making Golf Ball

In preparing golf balls in accordance with the present invention, a hard inner cover layer is molded (by injection molding or by compression molding) about a core (preferably a solid core). A comparatively softer outer layer is molded over the inner layer.

The solid core for the multi-layer ball is about 1.2 - 1.6 inches in diameter, although it may be possible to use cores in the range of about 1.0 - 2.0 inches.

Conventional solid cores are typically compression or injection molded from a slug or ribbon of uncured or lightly cured elastomer composition comprising a high cis content polybutadiene and a metal salt of an α , β , ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid such as zinc mono or diacrylate or methacrylate. To achieve higher coefficients of restitution in the core, the manufacturer may include fillers such as small amounts of a metal oxide such as zinc oxide. In addition, larger amounts of metal oxide than those that are needed to achieve the desired coefficient are often included in conventional cores in order to increase the core weight so that the finished ball more closely approaches the U.S.G.A. upper weight limit of 1.620 ounces. Other materials may be used in the core composition including compatible rubbers or ionomers, and low molecular weight fatty acids such as stearic acid. Free radical initiators such as peroxides are admixed with the core composition so that on the application of heat and pressure, a complex curing cross-linking reaction takes place.

The inner cover layer which is molded over the core is about 0.01 inches to about 0.10 inches in thickness, preferably about 0.03 - 0.07 inches thick. The inner ball which includes the core and inner cover layer preferably has a diameter in the range of 1.25 to 1.60 inches. The outer cover layer is about 0.01 inches to about 0.10 inches in thickness. Together, the core, the inner cover layer and the outer cover layer combine to form a ball having a diameter of 1.680 inches or more, the minimum diameter permitted by the rules of the United States Golf Association and weighing no more than 1.62 ounces.

In a particularly preferred embodiment of the invention, the golf ball has a dimple pattern which provides coverage of 65% or more. The golf ball typically is coated with a durable, abrasion-resistant, relatively non-yellowing finish coat.

The various cover composition layers of the present invention may be produced according to conventional melt blending procedures. Generally, the copolymer resins are blended in a Banbury type mixer, two-roll mill, or extruder prior to neutralization. After blending, neutralization then occurs in the melt or molten state in the Banbury mixer. Mixing problems are minimal because preferably more than 75 wt %, and more preferably at least 80 wt % of the ionic copolymers in the mixture contain acrylate esters, and in this respect, most of the polymer chains in the mixture are similar to each other. The blended composition is then formed into slabs, pellets, etc., and maintained in such a state until molding is desired. Alternatively, a simple dry blend of the pelletized or granulated resins which have previously been neutralized to a desired extent and colored masterbatch may be prepared and fed

directly into the injection molding machine where homogenization occurs in the mixing section of the barrel prior to injection into the mold. If necessary, further additives such as an inorganic filler, etc., may be added and uniformly mixed before initiation of the molding process. A similar process is utilized to formulate the high acid ionomer resin compositions used to produce the inner cover layer. In one embodiment of the invention, a masterbatch of non-acrylate ester-containing ionomer with pigments and other additives incorporated therein is mixed with the acrylate ester-containing copolymers in a ratio of about 1 - 7 weight % masterbatch and 93 - 99 weight % acrylate ester-containing copolymer.

The golf balls of the present invention can be produced by molding processes which include but are not limited to those which are currently well known in the golf ball art. For example, the golf balls can be produced by injection molding or compression molding the novel cover compositions around a wound or solid molded core to produce an inner ball which typically has a diameter of about 1.50 to 1.67 inches. The outer layer is subsequently molded over the inner layer to produce a golf ball having a diameter of 1.620 inches or more, preferably about 1.680 inches or more. Although either solid cores or wound cores can be used in the present invention, as a result of their lower cost and superior performance, solid molded cores are preferred over wound cores. The standards for both the minimum diameter and maximum weight of the balls are established by the United States Golf Association (U.S.G.A.).

In compression molding, the inner cover composition is formed via injection at about 380°F to about 450°F into smooth surfaced hemispherical shells which are then positioned around the core in a mold having the desired inner cover thickness and subjected to compression molding at 200° to 300°F for about 2 to 10 minutes, followed by cooling at 50° to 70°F for about 2 to 7 minutes to fuse the shells together to form a unitary intermediate ball. In addition, the intermediate balls may be produced by injection molding wherein the inner cover layer is injected directly around the core placed at the center of an intermediate ball mold for a period of time in a mold temperature of from 50° to about 100°F. Subsequently, the outer cover layer is molded about the core and the inner layer by similar compression or injection molding techniques to form a dimpled golf ball of a diameter of 1.680 inches or more.

After molding, the golf balls produced may undergo various further processing steps such as buffing, painting and marking as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,911,451.

The resulting golf ball produced from the hard inner layer and the relatively softer, low flexural modulus outer layer provide for an improved multi-layer golf ball which provides for desirable coefficient of restitution and durability properties while at the same time offering the feel and spin characteristics associated with soft balata and balata-like covers of the prior art.

Unique Spin Characteristics

As indicated above, the golf ball of the invention is unique in that it provides good distance when hit with a driver, good control off of irons, and excellent spin on short chip shots. This golf ball is superior to conventional soft covered two-piece or wound balls in that it has lower spin off of a driver and higher spin on short shots.

The spin factor of the ball of the invention may be specified in the manner described below.

Step 1. A golf ball testing machine is set up in order that it meets the following conditions for hitting a 1995 Top-Flite Tour Z-balata 90 ball produced by Spalding & Evenflo Companies.

a	Club	Launch Angle (deg.)	Ball Speed (FPS)	Spin Rate (RPM)
1530X	9 iron	21 ± 1.5	160.5 ± 9.0	9925 ± 600

The machine is set up such that the above conditions are met for each test using 10 Z-balata 90 golf balls which are hit 3 times each at the same machine setting. The thirty measurements of spin rate are averaged to obtain N_{9I-ZB} .

Step 2. Ten golf balls of the invention (Ball X) are hit 3 times each using the same machine setting as was used for the Z-balata balls and spin data is collected. Any clearly erratic spin test result is eliminated and replaced by a new test with the same ball. The thirty measurements of spin rate are averaged to obtain N_{9I-X} .

Step 3. The machine is set up in order that it meets the following conditions for hitting a 1995 Z-balata 90 ball, the conditions being intended to replicate a 30-yard chip shot:

TS: 2X

Club	Launch Angle	Ball Speed	Spin Rate
Sand Wedge	28 ± 4.5	58.0 ± 4.0	4930 ± 770

The machine is set up such that the above conditions are met for each test using 10 Z-balata 90 golf balls which are hit 3 times each at the same machine setting. The thirty measurements of spin rate are averaged to obtain N_{SW-ZB} .

Step 4. The 10 golf balls used in Step 2 are hit three times each using the same machine setting as was used in Step 3 and spin data is collected. Any clearly erratic spin test result is eliminated and replaced by a new test with the same ball. The thirty measurements of spin rate are averaged to obtain N_{SW-X} .

Step 5. The numerical values of N_{9I-ZB} , N_{9I-X} , N_{SW-ZB} and N_{SW-X} are inserted into the following formula to obtain a spin factor:

1541X

$$\text{Spin factor} = \left[\left(\frac{N_{SW-X}}{N_{9I-X}} \right) - \left(\frac{N_{SW-ZB}}{N_{9I-ZB}} \right) \right] \times 100$$

The golf ball of the invention has a spin factor of 3.0 or more, more preferably 5.0 or more, and most preferably 8.0 or more.

The present invention is further illustrated by the following examples in which the parts of the specific ingredients are by weight. It is to be understood that the present invention is not limited to the examples, and various changes and modifications may be made in the invention without departing from the spirit and scope thereof.

Example 1: Ionic Terpolymer-Containing Cover

A set of two-piece golf balls was made with solid cores and a cover composition of 75 weight % NUCREL 035, which is an acrylate ester-containing acid terpolymer, and 25 weight % of a masterbatch containing 4.5 weight % MgO in Surlyn® 1605 ("MgO Masterbatch"). The terpolymer was reacted with the masterbatch at a temperature of about 250°F under high shear conditions at a pressure of about 0 to 100 psi. The magnesium in the masterbatch neutralized acid groups of the terpolymer at a level of about 62% neutralization. The molded balls were finished with polyurethane primer and top coats. The PGA compression, coefficient of restitution, Shore C hardness, scuff resistance, spin rate and cold crack of the golf balls were determined. The results are shown on Table 10 below.

To measure cold crack, the finished golf balls were stored at -10°F for at least 24 hours and were then subjected to 5 blows in a coefficient machine at 165 ft/sec. The balls were allowed to return to room temperature and were then visually inspected for cover cracking. None of the golf balls experienced cracking.

Coefficient of restitution (C.O.R.) was measured by firing the resulting golf ball in an air cannon at a velocity of 125 feet per second against a steel plate which was positioned 12 feet from the muzzle of the cannon. The rebound velocity was then measured. The rebound velocity was divided by the forward velocity to give the coefficient of restitution. Shore hardness was determined in general accordance with ASTM Test 2240, but was measured on a non-dimpled area of the surface of the golf ball.

55

Comparative Example 1: Ionic Copolymer Cover (Non-Terpolymer)

A set of 12 two-piece golf balls was made according to the same procedure as that of Example 1 with the exception that NUCREL 925, a non-acrylate ester-containing acid copolymer was substituted for NUCREL 035. The resulting golf ball cover was too hard, resulting in four breaks during cold crack testing. The results are shown on Table 10.

Comparative Example 2: Ionomer - Non-Ionic Terpolymer Blend

The procedure of Example 1 was repeated with the exception that the MgO Masterbatch was replaced by pure Surlyn® 1605. All of the golf ball covers broke during cold crack testing. The results are shown on Table 10.

Comparative Example 3: Ionomer - Non-Ionic Copolymer Blend

The procedure of Comparative Example 1 was repeated with the exception that the MgO masterbatch was replaced by pure Surlyn® 1605. The results are shown on Table 10. When subjected to cold crack testing, all of the golf ball covers broke.

As can be seen from the results of Example 1 and Comparative Examples 1 - 3, inferior golf balls are obtained when a hard, non-acrylate ester-containing copolymer is used instead of a softer, acrylate ester-containing terpolymer, and when either an acrylate ester-containing acid terpolymer or a non-acrylate ester-containing acid copolymer is not neutralized with metal ions.

TABLE 10

Experiment	Cover	Weight	PGA	COR	Shore C	Cold
No.	Material		Comp.	(x1000)	Hardness	Crack
1-1	75% Nucrel 035/ 25% MgO MB in Surlyn 1605	45.2	104	.783	80	No breaks
Comp. 1	75% Nucrel 925/ 25% MgO MB in Surlyn 1605	45.1	111	.798	90	4 breaks
Comp. 2	75% Nucrel 035/ 25% Surlyn 1605	45.1	99	.774	70	All broke
Comp. 3	75% Nucrel 925/ 25% Surlyn 1605	45.2	106	.790	75	All broke

Example 2: Ionic Terpolymers

An acrylate ester-containing terpolymer sold as ESCOR ATX 325 (Exxon Chemical Co.) was 57% neutralized with lithium cations. The ionomeric material, which also contained titanium dioxide, brightener, etc. from a white masterbatch, was placed over a solid golf ball core and the golf ball was primed and top coated. The properties of the resulting golf ball are shown on Table 11. This procedure was repeated using different combinations of terpolymers with cations and cation blends at the degrees of neutralization which are shown on Table 11. In the cation blends,

mole ratios were about 1:1:1. All of the ATX materials shown on Table 8 are ESCOR ATX materials available from Exxon Chemical Co. The Nucler materials are available from DuPont Chemical Co. Primacor 3440 is available from Dow Chemical Co.

The spin rate of the golf ball was measured by striking the resulting golf balls with a pitching wedge or 9-iron wherein the club-head speed is about 80 feet per second and the ball was launched at an angle of 26 to 34 degrees with an initial velocity of 100 - 115 feet per second. The spin rate was measured by observing the rotation of the ball in flight using stop action Strobe photography or via the use of a high speed video system.

The scuff resistance test was conducted in the following manner: a Top-Flite tour pitching wedge (1994) with box grooves was obtained and was mounted in a Miyamae driving machine. The club face was oriented for a square hit. The forward/backward tee position was adjusted so that the tee was four inches behind the point in the downswing where the club was vertical. The height of the tee and the toe-heel position of the club relative to the tee were adjusted in order that the center of the impact mark was about 3/4 of an inch above the sole and was centered toe to heel across the face. The machine was operated at a club head speed of 125 feet per second. A minimum of three samples of each ball were tested. Each ball was hit three times.

After testing, the balls were rated according to the following table:

Rating

Type of damage

1

Little or no damage (groove markings or dents)

SPALD/164/US

57

TS80X

58

- 2 Small cuts and/or ripples in cover
- 3 Moderate amount of material lifted from ball surface
but still attached to ball
- 4 Material removed or barely attached

The balls that were tested were primed and top coated.

As shown on Table 11, many of the cover materials resulted in golf balls having a scuff resistance of 1.5 or less, and others had a scuff resistance rating of 1.5 - 2.5.

Comparative Example 4: Hard/Soft Ionomer Blend

A golf ball with a cover formed from a blend of a commercially available hard sodium ionomer and a commercially available soft acrylate ester-containing zinc ionomer in which the blend contains less than 60 wt % soft ionomer was subjected to the same testing as the golf balls of Example 2. The results are shown on Table 11.

T600X

TABLE 11

Experiment No.	Cover Material	Cation	% Neutralization	PGA Comp.	COR (x1000)	Shore D Hardness	Scuff Resist.	Spin Rate (#9 Iron at 105 ft/sec)
Comp. 4	hard-soft ionomer blend 1 (control)	Zn/Na	60%	90	787	58	4.0	9,859
2-1	ATX 325	Li	57%	86	787	51	1.0	10,430
2-2	ATX 325	Li/Zn/K	65%	86	787	50	1.0	10,464
2-3	ATX 320	Li	57%	N.T.	N.T.	56	1.0	10,299
2-4	ATX 320	Li/Zn/K	65%	87	790	55	1.5	10,355
2-5	Nucrel 010	Li	--	89	803	65	3.0	7,644
2-6	Nucrel 010	Li/Zn/K	--	89	802	65	4.0	7,710
2-7	Nucrel 035	Li	--	87	801	62	3.0	8,931
2-8	Nucrel 035	Li/Zn/K	--	87	798	62	3.0	8,915
2-9	ATX 310	Li	53%	88	802	62	2.5	8,892
2-10	ATX 310	Li/Zn/K	60%	88	801	63	2.5	8,244
2-11	ATX 325	Li	57%	83	797	55	1.5	--
2-12	ATX 325	Li/Zn/K	65%	82	796	53	1.5	--
2-13	50% ATX 325-Li 50% ATX 320-unneut.	(Li)	28.5%	89	777	50	1.5	--
2-14	75%ATX320-Li/Zn/K 25%ATX320-unneut.	(Li/Zn/K)	49%	87	776	54	1.5	--
2-15	60%ATX325-Li/Zn/K 40%Primacor 3440-unneut.	(Li/Zn/K)	39%	88	779	54	1.5	--
2-16	ATX 320	Unneut.	--	88	775	45	2.0	--
2-17	ATX 325	Unneut.	--	88	--	42	1.5	--
2-18	ATX 325	Li	50%	95	795	50	1.0	--
2-19	ATX 325	Li	30%	96	791	46	1.5	--
2-20	ATX 325	Li/Zn/K	50%	91	791	48	1.0	--
2-21	ATX 325	Li/Zn/K	30%	90	N.T.	45	1.0	--
2-22	ATX 325	Li/Zn/K	50%	91	N.T.	47	1.0	--

60

Example 3: Ionic Terpolymers

The procedure of Example 2 was repeated with the exception that single cations of lithium, magnesium, sodium and potassium were used in the cover material. The results are shown on Table 12.

As indicated on Table 12, the scuff resistance of the golf balls was 3.0 or better. The scuff resistance of the balls with covers made of an acrylic acid terpolymer was 1.0. For a given terpolymer, the scuff resistance did not change when different cations were used for neutralization.

TABLE 12

Experiment	Cover	Cation	%	PGA	COR	Shore D	Scuff
No.	Material		Neutralization	Comp.	(x1000)	Hardness	Resistance
3-1	Nucrel 035	Li	100	90	792	62	3.0
3-2	Nucrel 035	Mg	100	89	792	62	3.0
3-3	ATX 325	Li	100	86	790	51	1.0
3-4	ATX 325	Mg	100	85	791	51	1.0
3-5	ATX 325	Na	81	85	790	51	1.0
3-6	ATX 325	K	95	85	791	51	1.0

Comparative Example 5:

Several intermediate balls (cores plus inner cover layers) were prepared in accordance with conventional molding procedures described above. The inner cover

compositions were molded around 1.545 inch diameter cores weighing 36.5 grams with a specific gravity of about 1.17 such that the inner cover had a wall thickness of about 0.0675 inches and a specific gravity of about 0.95, with the overall ball measuring about 1.680 inches in diameter.

The cores utilized in the examples were comprised of the following ingredients: 100 parts by weight high cis-polybutadiene, 31 parts by weight zinc diacrylate, about 6 parts by weight zinc oxide, 20 parts by weight zinc stearate, 17 - 18 parts by weight calcium carbonate, and small quantities of peroxide, coloring agent and a polymeric isocyanate sold as Papi 94 (Dow Chemical Co.). The molded cores exhibited PGA compressions of about 100 and C.O.R. values of about .800.

The inner cover compositions designated herein as compositions A - E utilized to formulate the intermediate balls are set forth in Table 10 below. The resulting molded intermediate balls were tested to determine the individual compression (Riehle), C.O.R., Shore C hardness, spin rate and cut resistance properties. These results are also set forth in Table 10 below.

The data of these examples are the average of twelve intermediate balls produced for each example. The properties were measured according to the following parameters:

Cut resistance was measured in accordance with the following procedure: A golf ball was fired at 135 feet per second against the leading edge of a pitching wedge wherein the leading edge radius is 1/32 inch, the loft angle is 51 degrees, the sole radius is 2.5 inches and the bounce angle is 7 degrees.

The cut resistance of the balls tested herein was evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5. The number 1 represents a cut that extends completely through the cover to the core. A 2 represents a cut that does not extend completely through the cover but that does break the surface. A 3 does not break the surface of the cover but does leave a permanent dent. A 4 leaves only a slight crease which is permanent but not as severe as 3. A 5 represents virtually no visible indentation or damage of any sort.

The spin rate of the golf ball was measured by striking the resulting golf balls with a pitching wedge or 9 iron wherein the club head speed is about 105 feet per second and the ball is launched at an angle of 26 to 34 degrees with an initial velocity of about 110 to 115 feet per second. The spin rate was measured by observing the rotation of the ball in flight using stop action Strobe photography.

Initial velocity is the velocity of a ball when struck at a hammer speed of 143.8 feet per second in accordance with a test as prescribed by the U.S.G.A.

As will be noted, compositions A, B and C include high acid ionomeric resins, with composition B further including zinc stearate. Composition D represents the inner layer (i.e. Surlyn® 1605) used in U.S. Patent No. 4,431,193. Composition E provides a hard, low acid ionomeric resin.

The purpose behind producing and testing the balls of Table 13 was to provide a subsequent comparison in properties with the multi-layer golf balls of the present invention.

Table 13

Molded Intermediate Golf Balls

<u>Ingredients of Inner Cover Compositions</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
Iotek 959	50	50	--	--	--
Iotek 960	50	50	--	--	--
Zinc Stearate	--	50	--	--	--
Surlyn® 8162	--	--	75	--	--
Surlyn® 8422	--	--	25	--	--
Surlyn® 1605	--	--	--	100	--
Iotek 7030	--	--	--	--	50
Iotek 8000	--	--	--	--	50
<u>Properties of Molded Intermediate Balls</u>					
Compression	58	58	60	63	62
C.O.R.	.811	.810	.807	.793	.801
Shore C Hardness	98	98	97	96	96
Spin Rate (R.P.M.)	7,367	6,250	7,903	8,337	7,956
Cut Resistance	4 - 5	4 - 5	4 - 5	4 - 5	4 - 5

As shown in Table 13 above, the high acid ionomer resin inner cover layer (molded intermediate balls A - C) have lower spin rates and exhibit substantially higher resiliency characteristics than the low acid ionomer resin based inner cover layers of balls D and E.

Example 4

Multi-layer balls in accordance with the present invention were then prepared. Specifically, the inner cover compositions used to produce intermediate golf balls from Table 13 were molded over the solid cores to a thickness of about 0.0375 inches,

64

thus forming the inner layer. The diameter of the solid core with the inner layer measured about 1.620 inches. Alternatively, the intermediate golf balls of Table 13 were ground down using a centerless grinding machine to a size of 1.620 inches in diameter to produce an inner cover layer of 0.0375 inches.

The size of 1.620 inches was determined after attempting to mold the outer cover layer to various sizes (1.600", 1.610", 1.620", 1.630" and 1.640") of intermediate (core plus inner layer) balls. It was determined that 1.620" was about the largest "intermediate" ball (i.e., core plus inner layer) which could be easily molded over with the soft outer layer materials of choice. The goal herein was to use as thin an outer layer as necessary to achieve the desired playability characteristics while minimizing the cost of the more expensive outer materials. However, with a larger diameter final golf ball and/or if the cover is compression molded, a thinner cover becomes feasible.

With the above in mind, an outer cover layer composition was blended together in accordance with conventional blending techniques. The outer layer composition used for this portion of the example is a relatively soft cover composition such as those listed in U.S. Patent No. 5,120,791. An example of such a soft cover composition is a 45% soft/55% hard low acid ionomer blend designated by the inventor as "TE-90". The composition of TE-90 is set forth as follows:

7661X

Outer Cover Layer Composition TE-90

Iotek 8000	22.7 weight %
Iotek 7030	22.7 weight %
Iotek 7520	45.0 weight %
White MB ¹	9.6 weight %

¹White MB consists of about 23.77 weight percent TiO₂; 0.22 weight percent Uvitex OB, 0.03 weight percent Santonox R, 0.05 weight percent Ultramarine blue and 75.85 weight percent Iotek 7030.

The above outer layer composition was molded around each of the 1.620 diameter intermediate balls comprising a core plus one of compositions A - D, respectively. In addition, for comparison purposes, Surlyn® 1855 (new Surlyn® 9020), the cover composition of the '193 patent, was molded about the inner layer of composition D (the intermediate ball representative of the '193 patent). The outer layer TE-90 was molded to a thickness of approximately 1.680 inches in diameter. The resulting balls (a dozen for each example) were tested and the various properties thereof are set forth in Table 14 as follows:

7661X

Table 14

Finished Balls

<u>Ingredients:</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Inner Cover Composition	A	B	C	D	D
Outer Cover Composition	TE-90	TE-90	TE-90	TE-90	Surlyn® 9020
<u>Properties of Molded Finished Balls:</u>					
Compression	63	63	69	70	61
C.O.R.	.784	.778	.780	.770	.757
Shore C Hardness	88	88	88	88	89
Spin (R.P.M.)	8,825	8,854	8,814	8,990	8,846
Cut Resistance	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	1-2

As it will be noted in finished balls 1 - 4, by creating a multi-layer cover utilizing the high acid ionomer resins in the inner cover layer and the hard/soft low acid ionomer resin in the outer cover layer, higher compression and increased spin rates are noted over the single layer covers of Table 10. In addition, both the C.O.R. and the Shore C hardness are reduced over the respective single layer covers of Table 10. This was once again particularly true with respect to the multi-layered balls containing the high acid ionomer resin in the inner layer (i.e. finished balls 1 - 5). In addition, with the exception of prior art ball 5 (i.e. the '193 patent), resistance to cutting remains good but is slightly decreased.

Furthermore, it is also noted that the use of the high acid ionomer resins as the inner cover material produces a substantial increase in the finished balls overall distance properties. In this regard, the high acid ionomer resin inner covers of balls 1 - 3 produce an increase of approximately 10 points in C.O.R. over the low acid ionomer resin inner covers of balls 4 and about a 25 point increase over the prior art balls 5. Since an increase in 3 to 6 points in C.O.R. results in an average increase of about 1 yard in distance, such an improvement is deemed to be significant.

Several other outer layer formulations were prepared and tested by molding them around the core and inner cover layer combination to form balls each having a diameter of about 1.68 inches. First, B.F. Goodrich Estane® X-4517 polyester polyurethane was molded about the core molded with inner layer cover formulation A. DuPont Surlyn® 9020 was molded about the core which was already molded with

inner layer D. Similar properties tests were conducted on these golf balls and the results are set forth in Table 15 below:

Table 15

Finished Balls

<u>Ingredients:</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>
Inner Cover Layer Composition	A	D
Outer Cover Layer Composition	Estane® 4517	Surlyn® 9020
<u>Properties of Molded Finished Balls:</u>		
Compression	67	61
C.O.R.	.774	.757
Shore C Hardness	74	89
Spin (R.P.M.)	10,061	8,846
Cut Resistance	3-4	1-2

The ball comprising inner layer formulation D and Surlyn® 9020 identifies the ball in the Nesbitt 4,431,193 patent. As is noted, the example provides for relatively high softness and spin rate though it suffers from poor cut resistance and low C.O.R. This ball is unacceptable by today's standards.

As for the Estane® X-4517 polyester polyurethane, a significant increase in spin rate over the TE-90 cover is noted along with an increase in spin rate over the TE-90

cover is noted along with an increased compression. However, the C.O.R. and Shore C values are reduced, while the cut resistance remains the same. Furthermore, both the Estane® X-4517 polyester polyurethane and the Surlyn® 9020 were relatively difficult to mold in such thin sections.

Example 5

In order to analyze the change in characteristics produced by multi-layer golf balls (standard size) having inner cover layers comprised of ionomer resin blends of different acid levels, a series of experiments was run. A number of tests were performed, varying the type of core, inner cover layer and outer cover layer. The results are shown below on Table 16:

TABLE 16

Sample #	CORE	INNER LAYER	THICKNESS	COMP/ COR	OUTER COVER	THICKNESS	COMP (Rhele)	COR	SHORE D	SPIN
8	1042 YELLOW	NONE	—	SEE BELOW	TOP GRADE	0.055"	61	.800	68	7331
9	1042 YELLOW	NONE	—	SEE BELOW	959/960	0.055"	56	.808	73	6516
10	SPECIAL 1.47"	959/960	0.050"	65/.805	959/960	0.055"	48	.830	73	6258
11	1042 YELLOW	NONE	—	SEE BELOW	SD 90	0.055"	62	.792	63	8421
12	SPECIAL 1.47"	TOP GRADE	0.050"	66/.799	SD 90	0.055"	55	.811	63	8265
13	SPECIAL 1.47"	959/960	0.050"	65/.805	SD 90	0.055"	53	.813	63	8254
14	SPECIAL 1.47"	TOP GRADE	0.050"	66/.799	TOP GRADE	0.055"	51	.819	68	7390
15	1042 YELLOW	NONE	—	SEE BELOW	Z-BALATA	0.055"	67	.782	55	9479
16	SPECIAL 1.47"	959/960	0.050"	65/.805	Z-BALATA	0.055"	61	.800	55	9026
17	SPECIAL 1.47"	TOP GRADE	0.050"	66/.799	Z-BALATA	0.055"	60	.798	55	9262

1042 YELLOW>COMP=72, COR=.780
 SPECIAL 1.47" CORE>COMP=67, COR=.782

70

In this regard, "Top Grade" or "TG" is a low acid inner cover ionomer resin blend comprising of 70.6% Iotek 8000, 19.9% Iotek 7010 and 9.6% white masterbatch. "959/960" is a 50/50 wt/wt blend of Iotek 959/960. In this regard, Escor® or Iotek 959 is a sodium ion neutralized ethylene-acrylic neutralized ethylene-acrylic acid copolymer. According to Exxon, Ioteks 959 and 960 contain from about 19.0 to about 21.0% by weight acrylic acid with approximately 30 to about 70 percent of the acid groups neutralized with sodium and zinc ions, respectively. The physical properties of these high acid acrylic acid based ionomers are as follows:

T710X

<u>PROPERTY</u>	<u>ESCOR® (IOTEK) 959</u>	<u>ESCOR® (IOTEK) 960</u>
Melt Index g/10 min	2.0	1.8
Cation	Sodium	Zinc
Melting Point, °F	172	174
Vicat Softening Point, °F	130	131
Tensile @ Break, psi	4600	3500
Elongation @ Break, %	325	430
Hardness, Shore D	66	57
Flexural Modulus, psi	66,000	27,000

Furthermore, the low acid ionomer formulation for "SD 90" and "Z-Balata" are set forth below:

120%

<u>SD Cover</u>	<u>ZB Cover</u>
17.2% Surlyn 8320	19% Iotek 8000
7.5% Surlyn 8120	19% Iotek 7030
49% Surlyn 9910	52.5% Iotek 7520
16.4% Surlyn 8940	9.5% white MB
9.7% white MB	

a The data clearly indicates that higher C.O.R. and hence ^{increased} ~~increase~~ travel distance can be obtained by using multi-layered covered balls versus balls covered with single layers. However, some sacrifices in compression and spin are also noted. Further, as shown in comparing Example Nos. 12 vs. 13, Example Nos. 17 vs. 16, etc. use of lower acid level inner cover layers and relatively soft outer cover layers (i.e., 50 wt. % or more soft ionomer) produces softer compression and higher spin rates than the golf balls comprised of high acid inner cover layers. Consequently, use of blends of low acid ionomer resins to produce the inner layer of a multi-layer covered golf ball, produces not only enhanced travel distance but also enhanced compression and spin properties.

Example 6

Multi-layer oversized golf balls were produced utilizing different ionomer resin blends as the inner cover layer (i.e., core plus inner cover layer is defined as "mantel"). The "ball data" of the oversized multi-layer golf balls in comparison with production samples of "Top-Flite® XL" and Top-Flite® Z-Balata" is set forth below.

T730X

	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u> Top-Flite® <u>XL</u>	<u>22</u> Top-Flite® <u>Z-Balata 90</u>
<u>Core Data</u>					
Size	1.43	1.43	1.43	1.545	1.545
COR	.787	.787	.787	—	—
<u>Mantel Data</u>					
Material	TG	TG	TG	—	—
Size	.161	1.61	1.61	—	—
Thickness	.090	.090	.090	—	—
<u>Mantel Data</u> <u>(cont'd)</u>					
Shore D	68	68	68	—	—
Compression	57	57	57	—	—
COR	.815	.815	.815	—	—
<u>Ball Data</u>					
Cover	TG	ZB	SD	TG	ZB
Size	1.725	1.723	1.726	1.681	1.683
Weight	45.2	45.1	45.2	45.3	45.5
Shore D	68	56	63	68	56
Compression	45	55	49	53	77
COR	.820	.800	.810	.809	.797
Spin	7230	9268	8397	7133	9287

The results indicate that use of multi-layer covers enhances C.O.R. and travel distance. Further, the data shows that use of a blend of low acid ionomer resins (i.e., "Top Grade") to form the inner cover layer in combination with a soft outer cover ("ZB" or "SD") produces enhanced spin and compression characteristics. The overall combination results in a relatively optimal golf ball with respect to characteristics of travel distance, spin and durability.

Example 7

Golf balls 7 - 1, 7 - 2, 7 - 3 and 7 - 4 having the formulations shown on Table 17 were formed.

Table 17

Chemical Component	7-1	7-2	7-3	7-4
Core Data				
Size	1.47"	1.47"	1.47"	1.47"
Weight	32.7g	32.7g	32.7g	32.7g
PGA Compression	70	60	70	60
COR	780	770	780	770
Composition				
High cis polybutadiene	100	100	100	100
Zinc oxide	30.5	31.6	30.5	31.6
Core regrind	16	16	16	16
Zinc Stearate	16	16	16	16
Zinc Diacrylate	22	70	22	20
Initiator	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Inner Cover Layer				
Size	1.57"	1.57"	1.57"	1.57"
Weight	38.4g	38.4g	38.4g	38.4g
PGA Compression	83	75	83	75
COR	801	795	801	795
Thickness	0.050"	0.050"	0.050"	0.050"
Hardness (Shore C/D)	97/70	97/70	97/70	97/70
Composition				
Iotek 1002	50%	50%	50%	50%
Iotek 1003	50%	50%	50%	50%

Chemical Component	7-1	7-2	7-3	7-4
Outer Cover Layer				
Hardness (Shore C/D)	71/46	71/46	71/46	71/46
Thickness	0.055"	0.055"	0.055"	0.055"
Composition				
Iotek 7510	92.8%	92.8%	42%	42%
Iotek 7520			42%	42%
Iotek 7030	7.2%	7.2%	7.3%	7.3%
Iotek 8000			8.7%	8.7%
Whitener Package				
Unitane O-110	2.3 phr	2.3 phr	2.3 phr	2.3 phr
Eastobrite OB1	0.025 phr	0.025 phr	0.025 phr	0.025 phr
Ultra Marine Blue	0.042 phr	0.042 phr	0.042 phr	0.042 phr
Santanox R	0.004 phr	0.004 phr	0.004 phr	0.004 phr
Final Ball Data				
Size	1.68"	1.68"	1.68"	1.68"
Weight	45.4g	45.4g	45.4g	45.4g
PGA Compression	85	78	85	78
COR	793	785	793	785

The balls of Example 7 - 2 were tested by a number of professional quality golfers using a driver, 5-iron, 9-iron, and sand wedge or pitching wedge. Each player used his own clubs and hit both the ball of Example 7 - 2 and a control ball, which was the 1995 two-piece Top-Flite Tour Z-balata 90. The Z-balata 90 has a 1.545" core of about 36.8g with a PGA compression of about 80 and a COR of about .794. The cover of the Z-balata 90 is about 0.068 in. thick, and is a blend of Iotek 8000 and Iotek 7510 with or without masterbatch containing Iotek 7030. The cover has a shore D hardness of about 55. The ball has a PGA compression of about 79 and a COR of about 0.788. Each player hit six of the balls of Example 7 - 2 and six Z-balata control balls one time each. For each shot, measurements were made of the initial launch conditions of the golf ball, including launch angle and ball speed. Furthermore, spin rates at initial launch, carry distance, and total distance were measured. The players hit full shots with the driver (1W), 5-iron (5I) and 9-iron (9I). With the sand wedge or pitching wedge (SW), the players hit about 30 yard chip shots. Data points were removed if determined to be "wild points." A point was said to be wild if it fell outside 2 standard deviations of the 6-hit average. Initial launch conditions were determined using a highly accurate high speed stop action video photography system. The results are shown on Table 18.

As shown on Table 18, multi-layer ball 7 - 2 was longer than the Z-balata control when hit with a 5-iron but only slightly longer than the Z-balata ball using a driver and 9-iron. The multi-layer ball 7 - 2 and the two-piece control were generally the same in overall distance using a driver. In each case, the multi-layer ball 7 - 2 had

a higher spin rate off the 30-yard chip shot than the Z-balata. The spin rate of the ball of Example 7 - 2 was an average of 11.6% higher than the spin rate of the Z-balata control in the 30 yard chip shot.

TABLE 18

2-Piece Control

7-2

Player	Club	L.A. (deg)	B.S. (fps)	Spin (rpm)	Carry (yds)	Total (yds)	L.A. (deg.)	B.S. (fps)	Spin (rpm)	Carry (yds)	Total (yds)
1	1W	10.4	262.2	3537	272.5	288.9	10.0	262.3	3247	271.6	292.2
2	1W	9.5	240.1	3124	238.1	253.6	8.9	238.3	2935	236.3	257.4
3	1W	8.6	258.8	3695	254.1	259.9	6.3	251.2	3357	247.6	260.8
4	1W	10.9	252.6	2639	271.6	289.8	12.5	251.4	3066	279.0	296.7
5	1W	9.5	211.7	3627	237.2	255.2	9.4	208.7	3415	235.0	259.8
6	1W	10.2	242.0	3105	263.8	283.2	11.0	243.9	2903	267.6	288.4
7	1W	11.5	214.9	3089	265.4	279.0	11.6	212.6	3165	262.9	274.4
8	1W	9.7	239.5	3129	263.6	288.8	9.3	235.3	2884	257.2	276.8
9	1W	11.7	211.7	2939	231.4	255.8	11.3	208.5	2032	222.2	244.3
10	1W	10.2	244.0	2797	243.3	250.2	9.7	239.6	3072	236.8	251.1
11	1W				247.4	263.8	13.8	215.8	3916	245.4	268.8
AVE.		10.2	237.7	3168	253.5	269.8	10.3	233.4	3090	251.1	270.1
1	5I	12.4	207.3	5942	198.3	209.8	11.8	206.3	5507	196.2	207.8
2	5I				178.3	184.2	14.9	199.4	5094	182.2	187.8
3	5I	10.9	196.8	6462	185.2	188.9	11.5	197.0	6009	187.4	193.4
4	5I	14.4	205.5	6683	207.8	213.7	14.7	208.3	6601	207.5	217.8
5	5I	13.6	183.3	6734	182.9	189.4	14.2	180.9	6380	184.2	190.7
6	5I	12.4	204.5	5771	201.0	210.5	12.9	208.4	5414	208.0	218.3
7	5I	14.1	184.3	6013	194.8	198.1	13.1	182.7	6000	192.9	200.0
8	5I	12.8	187.2	6149	188.0	200.3	13.1	191.6	6183	191.7	202.0
9	5I	13.2	176.5	6000	168.2	173.7	13.6	172.5	6166	169.7	174.3
10	5I	13.9	189.9	7214	175.2	178.2	14.9	199.1	6237	169.0	170.2
11	5I	14.2	179.5	6669	181.9	187.8	15.7	181.2	5338	184.0	190.7
AVE.		13.2	192.5	6364	187.4	194.1	13.7	193.4	5903	188.4	195.7

Player	Club	L.A. (deg)	B.S. (fps)	Spin (rpm)	Carry (yds)	Total (yds)	L.A. (deg)	B.S. (fps)	Spin (rpm)	Carry (yds)	Total (yds)
1	9I	20.0	168.1	9865	152.5	159.5	20.4	172.2	9210	153.4	159.6
2	9I	21.8	165.9	9770	132.7	137.0	23.0	164.7	8949	132.7	134.6
3	9I	19.9	154.3	10764	128.8	134.3	19.9	156.5	10161	129.8	135.0
4	9I	22.7	166.4	10551	146.0	148.8	23.9	165.7	9990	150.3	154.2
5	9I	22.1	147.4	9682	137.1	138.1	22.2	148.5	9324	139.3	141.7
6	9I	19.4	169.7	8939	153.3	158.0	19.7	168.2	8588	156.2	163.5
7	9I	20.4	151.1	9899	147.5	150.0	21.6	150.3	9084	148.6	151.3
8	9I	18.5	143.0	9408	142.0	147.5	18.3	141.8	9038	141.2	144.8
9	9I	20.0	134.5	9124	124.9	128.8	20.1	132.9	8834	125.0	128.9
10	9I	23.2	156.1	10603	122.7	124.1	23.2	155.6	11017	116.2	116.3
11	9I	21.5	149.4	9729	131.0	134.5	23.4	151.7	8686	133.3	136.8
AVE.		20.9	155.1	9849	138.0	141.9	21.4	155.3	9353	138.7	142.4
1	SW	29.2	56.4	5647			24.8	58.9	6679		
2	SW	26.6	57.4	5446			25.2	57.8	5647		
3	SW	25.8	64.1	4925			24.3	63.5	5550		
4	SW	30.9	60.9	5837			31.1	57.9	6158		
5	SW	20.3	56.7	4152			19.0	56.3	4288		
6	SW	34.3	57.1	3798			32.4	61.5	4700		
7	SW	30.5	51.5	4712			29.3	52.3	5374		
AVE.		28.2	67.7	4931			26.6	58.3	6485		

Example 8

The ball of Example 7 - 2 was compared to a number of competitive products in distance testing using a driving machine in which the ball was struck with a club. The results are shown on Table 19 below. The distance test shows that Example 7 - 2 is about the same distance as the Z-balata 90 control and longer than the Titleist HP-2 Tour (soft covered two-piece) and Titleist Tour Balata 100 ball (Balata covered wound ball). The other balls that were tested include the Maxfli (Dunlop) XS100, Maxfli (Dunlop) XF100, and the GIGA Top-Flite golf ball sold by Spalding in Japan. In Table 19, the ball of Example 7 - 2 is the longest ball.

when a silicon is used

TS20X

TABLE 19

DISTANCE REPORT											
TEST NUMBER:	131951					USING ROUNDS:					
CLUB NAME:	TTT 10.5 DEG MW (DRIVER)					CLUB HEAD SPEED:	157.35				
						DATE:	Wed, Jan 31, 1996				
						# Balls/Type	10				
AVERAGE TEST CONDITIONS:											
LAUNCH ANGLE (DEG):	9.6										
BALL SPEED (FPS):	217.8										
SPIN RATE (RPM):	3390										
TURF CONDITION:	FIRM										
WIND (MPH/DIR):	2.55	135.20									
TEMP/RH (DEG/%):	0.61	91.59									
P-BAR(mbar)	1015										
BALL TYPE:	TRAD	FTIME	CARRY	CAR DIF	CIR DEV	ROLL	T DIST	T DIF			
HP2 TOUR	8.7	6.0	230.4	-4.1	3.0	9.9	240.3	-4.3			
ZB90	9.0	6.1	231.8	-2.7	3.4	9.1	241.0	-3.6			
GIGA	8.8	6.0	234.5	0.0	5.7	10.2	244.6	0.0			
Example 7-2	8.3	5.9	229.6	-4.9	3.8	11.1	240.7	-3.9			
Titleist Tour Balata 100	9.2	6.2	229.2	-5.3	7.8	7.8	236.9	-7.7			

TABLE 19 Continued

DISTANCE REPORT									
TEST NUMBER:	0203963				USING ROUNDS:			1	
CLUB NAME:	TFT 5 IRON				CLUB HEAD SPEED:		126.18		
					DATE:		Sat. Feb 03 1996		
					# Balls/Type:		12		
AVERAGE TEST CONDITIONS:									
LAUNCH ANGLE (DEG):		14							
BALL SPEED (FPS):		180.1							
SPIN RATE (RPM):		5424							
TURF CONDITION:		FIRM							
WIND (MPH/DIR):		6.23	171.38						
TEMP/RH (DEG/F):		62.20	98.16						
P-BAR		1015.00							
BALL TYPE:	TRAJ	ETIME	CARRY	CAR DIF	CTR DEV	ROLL	T DIST	T DIF	
HP2 TOUR		25.3	6.0	156.0	-7.4	-3.0	1.5	157.5	-9.5
ZB90		25.2	6.0	157.1	-6.3	-3.3	2.2	159.3	-7.7
GIGA		25.0	6.0	162.2	-1.2	-3.1	2.9	165.1	-1.9
Example 7-2		23.5	6.0	163.4	0.0	-3.3	3.7	167.0	0.0
Titelst Tour Balaia 100		23.9	6.0	158.7	-4.7	-2.3	2.5	161.2	-5.8
ZB100		26.1	6.0	155.6	-7.8	-4.5	2.0	157.6	-9.4
XS100		23.9	6.0	161.5	-2.1	-5.6	2.6	163.9	-3.1
XF100		24.5	6.0	152.0	-11.4	-6.2	1.6	153.7	-13.3

The invention has been described with reference to the preferred embodiment. Obviously, modifications and alterations will occur to others upon reading and understanding the proceeding detailed description. It is intended that the invention be construed as including all such modifications and alterations insofar as they come within the scope of the appended claims or the equivalents thereof.